



the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Entropy/Information Generation in Post-inflation Preheating: A Shock-in-Time







Friday, 30 September, 11











the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Entropy/Information Generation in Post-inflation Preheating: A Shock-in-Time

we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (LCDM)

 $\rho_{dm}/\rho_{b}=5.1 \ \rho_{m}/\rho_{de}=.30 \ \Omega_{m}=0.268 \pm .012 \ \Omega_{\Lambda}=0.736 \pm .012$ Power<sub>s</sub>= $25 \times 10^{-10}$  Tilt<sub>s</sub> = 0.963±0.013 running=-0.024 ± 0.015 r=T/S<0.19







the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Entropy/Information Generation in Post-inflation Preheating: A Shock-in-Time

we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (LCDM)

 $\rho_{dm}/\rho_{b}=5.1 \ \rho_{m}/\rho_{de}=.30 \ \Omega_{m}=0.268 \pm .012 \ \Omega_{\Lambda}=0.736 \pm .012$ Power<sub>s</sub>= $25 \times 10^{-10}$  Tilt<sub>s</sub> = 0.963±0.013 running=-0.024 ± 0.015 r=T/S<0.19



**CMB**ology uses WMAP7+ACT (SPT), past: Boom, CBI, Acbar,... (QuAD, ...). **LSS**ology BAO H0 SN lens, clusters. coming: Planck cosmology Jan2013,14 cosmic parameters Jan11(25p), Feb12 SZ,CIB,ISM ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet-2, .. CARMA, Mustang2 on GBT, CCAT, ALMA, ...





the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Entropy/Information Generation in Post-inflation Preheating: A Shock-in-Time

we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (LCDM)

 $\rho_{dm}/\rho_{b}=5.1 \ \rho_{m}/\rho_{de}=.30 \ \Omega_{m}=0.268 \pm .012 \ \Omega_{\Lambda}=0.736 \pm .012$ Power<sub>s</sub>= $25 \times 10^{-10}$  Tilt<sub>s</sub> = 0.963±0.013 running=-0.024 ± 0.015 r=T/S<0.19



CMBology uses WMAP7+ACT (SPT), past: Boom, CBI, Acbar,.. (QuAD, ...). LSSology BAO H0 SN lens, clusters. coming: Planck cosmology Jan2013,14 cosmic parameters Jan11(25p), Feb12 SZ,CIB,ISM ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet-2, .. CARMA, Mustang2 on GBT, CCAT, ALMA, ...

WMAP: 1.15 Tbits in 9yrs, cf. MyLifeBits, Gordon Bell, 1.28 Tbits in 9yrs, Planck 36 Tbits, ACT 304 Tbits. Terabit= $10^{12}$ bits=125 GigaBytes. e.g., Compress e.g.,  $\Delta S_{1f}$  (r) = -3.7 Spider+Planck cf. ACT1





the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Entropy/Information Generation in Post-inflation Preheating: A Shock-in-Time

we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (LCDM)

 $\rho_{dm}/\rho_{b}=5.1 \ \rho_{m}/\rho_{de}=.30 \ \Omega_{m}=0.268 \pm .012 \ \Omega_{\Lambda}=0.736 \pm .012$ Power<sub>s</sub>= $25 \times 10^{-10}$  Tilt<sub>s</sub> = 0.963±0.013 running=-0.024 ± 0.015 r=T/S<0.19



How Structure in the Universe Arose?: fluctuation generation in curvature from an early inflaton: isocurvature, Gravity Wave, non-Gaussianity signatures

(coherence + quantum noise => incoherence via entropy/information generation) morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies (SZ)

the fate of the U?: dark energy properties driving late inflation, S in asymptotic dS?

### Cosmotician

P(cosmic parametersID,T), P(DIT) D=CMB,LSS,SN,...,complexity, life T=baryon, dark matter, vacuum mass-energy densities,....,early and late inflation,structure of manfolds (extra compactifying 7 + 3+1), holes, branes, fibres, strings,vacuua landscape, physical coupling 'constants' Anthrostatician=superHorizon measurer



en-Tango-ment, the dance of S+R=U Universe=System(s)+Reservoir,

=Signal(s)+Residual noise,

=Effective Theory+*Hidden variables,* 

observer(s)+observed,

ruled by (information) entropy, entangled. *the fine grains in the coarse grains* 

the coherent and the entropic, in all its forms, from ultra-early-U to ultra-late-U



en-Tango-ment, the dance of S+R=U Universe=System(s)+Reservoir,

=Signal(s)+Residual noise,

=Effective Theory+*Hidden variables,* observer(s)+observed,

ruled by (information) entropy, entangled. the fine grains in the coarse grains

the coherent and the entropic, in all its forms, from ultra-early-U to ultra-late-U

*the emergence of the collective from the random:* **coherence** from driven zero-point vacuum fluctuations ⇒ V **inflaton**, gravity waves; decohere

*let there be heat:* entropy generation in **preheating** from the coherent inflaton (origin of all matter)



en-Tango-ment, the dance of S+R=U Universe=System(s)+Reservoir,

=Signal(s)+Residual noise,

=Effective Theory+*Hidden variables,* observer(s)+observed,

ruled by (information) entropy, entangled. the fine grains in the coarse grains

the coherent and the entropic, in all its forms, from ultra-early-U to ultra-late-U

*the emergence of the collective from the random:* **coherence** from driven zero-point vacuum fluctuations ⇒ V **inflaton**, gravity waves; decohere

*let there be heat:* entropy generation in **preheating** from the coherent inflaton (origin of all matter)

information in **nearly-Gaussian** random **fields** of U: spatial coarse-grained **CMB entropy** & how we capture it. **dark matter entropy**, **cluster & protocluster & cosmic web entropy**. **MHD turbulence entropy** with cooling & grain polarized emission - a CMB fgnd. How Shannon info-entropy flows from CMB bolometer timestreams to marginalized cosmic parameters via **Bayesian chains from prior to posterior**.

Shannon entropy ~ von-Neumann entropy = Trace  $\varrho \ln \varrho^{-1}$  = full non-equilibrium S  $\varrho(U) = \varrho(S,R) = \varrho(R|S) \varrho(S)$  entanglement of phase & probability



χ scalar field fluctuations in the vacuum of the ultraearly Universe preheating patch (~1cm)

evolve from early U vacuum potential and vacuum noise

10 Gpc





#### pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ



#### My new/old passion: see JFN **Studying the Cluster Tango** en-Tango-ment, the dance of S+R=U

en-Tango-ment, the dance of S+R=U U = Hubble patch, oft-realized S = a scaled-rotated-stacked-clusterradial-bin (non-local, i.e., disconnected) R = other radial bins + the web outside

**resolution** dimension  $\lambda = -In r/r_0$  to  $-In r/r_\Delta$  when res-synchronized 1D (or 6D λij) **Shannon information entropy S**( $\lambda$  |coarse-grained-measures) deals with the non-equilibrium and non-thermal entropy in cls,

includes DarkMatter coarse-grained entropy -

 $S(\lambda | coarse-grained-measures)$  can treat the entropy of protocluster/peak patches and of preheating configurations.

**gravitational entropy**, although somewhat included, remains a **mystery**. the **gravo-thermal catastrophe** = negative specific heat, what gravity wants is to localize concentrating mass into black holes and make accelerating voids to straighten out U.





patterns in the quantum jitter evolve under gravity (& gas dynamics)

1000 Gpc

#### the quantum stochastic non-G landscape cf. the stringy landscape

SB91: non-G

on uniform Ha-

hypersurfaces from

a simple

exponential

potential **VIa** 

quantum kicks

> drift at high

 $H_i \sim m_p$ 

uuUULSS cf.

Gaussian at

low H<sub>i</sub>~10<sup>-5</sup>m<sub>p</sub>

asymptotic

flat eternal

inflation V has

similar

**behaviour** 

observable nearly-







# end of inflation @E=1 through preheating (linear resonance, nonlinear backreaction $\delta \psi, \delta \chi$ ) to thermal equilibrium $ln(n_{k}^{-1}+1) = k/T, \rho_{k} \in E_{k}(n_{k}+1/2)$

from coherent "background" field with nearly-Gaussian linear fluctuations to incoherent heat bath through a not-that-turbulence-like cascade:

development of complexity: information (multi-scale entropy) b+braden 11



=> no effect on k-observed? MAYBE: relics (e.g., strings, isocons), HF gravity waves (kHz-GHz cf. 10<sup>-19</sup>Hz), isocon modulation & non-Gaussianity

#### Andrei Frolov, Defrost code

 $V(\phi,\chi) = 1/4 \lambda \phi^4 + 1/2 g^2 \phi^2 \chi^2$ 



Preheating = Shock-in-time, overview Jonathan Braden + B 2011

Initial State = Nearly Homogeneous Inflaton Low entropy (vac fluc.), information encoded in a few parameters

#### Preheating

Instabilities result in nonlinear transition to an incoherent state KLS 94, 97,e.g. Tkachev, Felder, Garcia-Bellido, ...

#### **Transition Regime**

Complex slowly evolving nonlinear, nonequilbrium state e.g. Micha and Tkachev 2004, turbulence analogy

the shock-in-time is the sharp mediator between the linear & the highly nonlinear transition

### **Thermal Equilibrium**

Maximum spreading of information in modes subject to energy and particle number constraints.

# A Shocking End to Post Inflation Mean Field Dynamics

Shock-in-space t = const  $V_{bulk}^2 > C_s^2 \Rightarrow V_{bulk}^2 < C_s^2$ 

supersonic  $\Rightarrow$  subsonic

Characteristic spatial scale Jump Conditions:  $\Delta T^{\mu\nu}$ **Randomizing** Shock Front:  $\Delta S$ **Mediation**: width via viscosity or collisionless dynamics **post-shock evolution,** slow, of temperature Shock-in-time x = const (deviations for nonG) < $\rho$ > >>  $\delta\rho \Rightarrow <\rho$ > <<  $\delta\rho$ 

Homogeneous  $\Rightarrow$  Fluctuations

Characteristic temporal scale Jump Conditions:  $\Delta T^{\mu 0}$ **Randomizing** mode cascade & Particle Production:  $\Delta S$ **Mediation**: width via gradients and nonlinearities

post-shock evolution, slow, of fluctuations

Preheating (a shock-in-time) is an efficient entropy source.

Nonequilibrium Shannon (~ Von Neumann) Entropy S =-Tr P[f ] In P[f ] P[f ] : probability density functional

Coarse Graining and Entropy Production Field⇒ Correlation Functions

Measurements: Constraints (information) on Correlators Maximize entropy subject to given constraints Generation of higher order correlators  $\Rightarrow$  entropy generation



## **Entropy and Gaussian Distributions**

Only power spectrum constrained  $\Rightarrow$  multivariate Gaussian maximizes S

S/N = 1/2N Tr In P(k) +1/2+ 1/2 In( $2\pi$ ) In=log<sub>e</sub> measure info in nats, Ib=log<sub>2</sub> measure info in bits

P has dimension, so S relative, if you count states then relative to 1

# **Power Spectrum**

Nonlinear dynamics via large parallel lattice simulations using modified version of DEFROST Frolov 2008





## **Entropy Production & the Shock-in-time**



constrained coarse-grained Shannon entropy > 0 taken relative to the initial Gaussian random field entropy

there is a spike of entropy production at the shock front.

#### Scale Dependence of Shock-in-Time



The entropy production is not localized just large k or to small k. Suppose we only have access to a limited resolution of the field (modelled here by a sharp k space cutoff k < kcut)



# **Statistical Simplicity**

Density PDF~ log-normal after initial transient Frolov

Velocity components ~ Gaussian.





Normalized Probability

Friday, 30 September, 11

## Renormalization and Scale Dependence Wilsonian RG Blocking

Sequence of smoothed fields  $\rho_s$  defined by averaging over groups of 8 nearest neighbours with  $r_s = 2^s dX_{lat}$  the smoothing scale.

Define local background for  $\rho_s(x)$  by  $\rho_{s+1}$  lidea is there are fluctuations on fluctuations on fluctuations ...

rs/prsbg

The shock-in-time has a more pronounced effect on larger scales At late times, local fluctuation PDFs evolve more slowly on larger scales than on small scales White bounds the extremal values in the simulation box.



log(a)

#### Relation to Nongaussianities entropy change as coupling changes



dependence of In(a<sub>shock</sub>/a<sub>end</sub>) on parameters (coupling constants,<x<sub>init</sub>>, ...) relationship to nongaussianities from preheating Bond,Frolov,Huang,Kofman (2009), and e.g. Chambers and Rajantie (2008)

The spatial structure of ln(ashock/aend) resulting from given initial conditions encodes information about the perturbation spectra including nongaussianities.







In a<sub>final</sub> /a<sub>end</sub> ~ In a<sub>shock</sub> /a<sub>end</sub> curvature  $F_{NL}(\chi(x,t)) = \delta \ln a H(\chi_i)^{-1}$ highly nonlinear function of a Gaussian random 'isocon' field



χ(x,t)=

## calculate $\delta[na[\chi_i(x,t)]]$ from $\epsilon=1$ (end of inflation) through preheat (copious mode-mode-coupling aka particle creation) to thermal equilibrium Bond, Andrei Frolov, Zhiqi Huang, Kofman 09



Friday, 30 September, 11



# curvature $F_{NL}(\chi(x,t)) = \delta \ln a |_{H}(\chi_i)$ highly nonlinear function of a Gaussian random 'isocon' field



to develop the  $lna(\chi_i)$  response curve, we perform > 10<sup>4</sup> lattice simulations for each  $g^2/\lambda$ curvature  $F_{NL}(\chi(x,t)) = \delta \ln a H(\chi_i)$ highly nonlinear function of a Gaussian random 'isocon' field 12.0 g<sup>2</sup>/λ=1.875 10.0  $\delta N = \ln(a_{end}/a_{ref}) + 10^5$ 8.0 μ<sub>0</sub>T periodicity and its harmonic 6.0 4.0 2.0 0.0 -2.0





Oh

 $\chi_{\text{eff}}(\Lambda, \mathbf{C}) =$ field smoothing over  $\chi_{\text{HF}}$ 

Relation to Nongaussianities smooth in time over oscillations gives EOS change  $\rho a^4$ 



Relation to Nongaussianities EOS change  $\rho a^4$  near the entropy jump



# Conclusions

New language for preheating: a shock-in-time = randomization front, an efficient entropy source

Spatial block RG smoothing indicates that PDF's of fluctuations around local values evolve slowly post-shock

Observable features such as nongaussianities should be encoded in the

spatial structure of the shock-in-time, characterized by **Inashock / aend** & the mediation width

TBD: solidify the case for nongaussian structure encoded in the spatial inhomogeneity of the shock-in-time & determine the parameter dependence of it, and thus the variety of nonG that can arise. constrain/detect with Planck

# end

large-ish  $\chi$ >h regime:

## 





the WMAP Cold Spot: Vielva, Martinez-Gonzalez, Barr, Sanz, Cayon 2004 wavelets in WMAP1, ... Cruz etal 07 in WMAP3, & in WMAP5: needlets, steerable wavelets:

**~4.5σ, others ~3σ; Zhang & Huterer 09, not as significant with other filters 20%** *Bond, Frolov, Huang, Nolta 11* 



Friday, 30 September, 11

the WMAP Cold Spot: Vielva, Martinez-Gonzalez, Barr, Sanz, Cayon 2004 wavelets in WMAP1, ... Cruz etal 07 in WMAP3, & in WMAP5: needlets, steerable wavelets:

**~4.5σ, others ~3σ; Zhang & Huterer 09, not as significant with other filters 20%** *Bond, Frolov, Huang, Nolta 11* 





SSG84 381 arcmin HWHM filter-band maps, on scales where the cold spot is a maximum. the skewness & kurtosis are band-averages of the bispectrum & trispectrum. implications of intermittency for fNL determinations TBD?

# end

## information content as a function of scale in the lattice =*multi-scale entropy* $S(res-scale) = -\int dv \rho_s / E [In (\rho_s / E) - C], \text{ with } \int dv \rho_s / E = 1$

**Ps energy density smoothed on a hierarchy of resolutions** ("Wilsonian renormalization group" block-smoothing)

Differences in Scale Dependent Entropies



Friday, 30 September, 11

#### Old view: Theory prior = delta function of THE correct one and only theory





New view: Theory prior = probability distribution on an energy landscape whose features are at best only glimpsed,

huge number of potential minima, inflation the late stage flow in the low energy structure toward these minima. Critical role of collective coordinates in the low energy landscape:

moving brane/antibrane separations (D3,D7) moduli fields, sizes and shapes of geometrical structures such as holes in a dynamical extradimensional (6D) manifold approaching stabilization

Balasubramanian, Berlund, Conlon, Quevedo, · · ·

Bond, Kofman, Prokushkin, Vaudrevange 2007, Roulette Inflation with Kahler Moduli and their Axions

Barnaby, Bond, Huang, Kofman, hep-th/0909.0503, Preheating after Modular Inflation

theory prior ~ probability of trajectories given potential parameters of the collective coordinates X probability of the potential parameters X probability of initial conditions

The 'house' plays roulette as well as dice with the world.





#### Old view: Theory prior = delta function of THE correct one and only theory



Friday, 30 September, 11



New view: Theory prior = probability distribution on an energy landscape whose features are at best only glimpsed,

#### huge number of potential minima, inflation the late stage flow in the low energy structure toward these minima. Critical role of collective coordinates in the low energy landscape:

moving brane/antibrane separations (D3,D7) moduli fields, sizes and shapes of geometrical structures such as holes in a dynamical extradimensional (6D) manifold approaching stabilization

Balasubramanian, Berlund, Conlon, Quevedo, · · ·

Bond, Kofman, Prokushkin, Vaudrevange 2007, Roulette Inflation with Kahler Moduli and their Axions

Barnaby, Bond, Huang, Kofman, hep-th/0909.0503, Preheating after Modular Inflation

theory prior ~ probability of trajectories given potential parameters of the collective coordinates X probability of the potential parameters X probability of initial conditions

The 'house' plays roulette as well as dice with the world.



Roulette inflation Kahler moduli/axion

(Sumber of Efolds:, 29, 211, 4, 22, 2, 285, 105, 8, 11, 18, 30, 53, 106, 0, 0)

1000

750

## **Preheating After Roulette Inflation**

pre-heating patch (<1cm)

a = -1

# A visualized 2D slice in lattice simulation

#### Barnaby, Bond, Huang, Kofman 2009

**HLattice** code: arbitrary number of fields, hybrid symplectic, to ~ trillionth accuracy! Huang 2011 added full metric back action



Standard Parameters of Cosmic Structure Formation



+ subdominant socurvature, cosmic string, & fgnds, tSZ,kSZ, ...

 $< F_{NL} |\chi_{b+}\chi_{>h}>$ 



$$< F_{NL} |\chi_{b+}\chi_{>h} > \sim \beta(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b} + f(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b}^{2} + ...$$

cf.  $F(x) = F_G(x) + f_{NL} F_G^2(x)$ 



$$< F_{NL} |\chi_{b+}\chi_{>h} > \sim \beta(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b} + f(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b}^{2} + ...$$

cf.  $F(x) = F_G(x) + f_{NL} F_G^2(x)$ 



 $\mathbf{f}_{NL}^{equiv} = \beta^2 \mathbf{f} \chi [\mathbf{P} \chi / \mathbf{P} \phi]^2 (\mathbf{k}_{pivot})$ 

=> constrain  $f\chi^3 \chi > h^2 (P\chi/P\phi \sim 2\epsilon => rela ed limit)$ 

$$< F_{NL} |\chi_{b+}\chi_{>h} > \sim \beta(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b} + f(\chi_{>h}) \chi_{b}^{2} + ...$$

cf.  $F(x) = F_G(x) + f_{NL} F_G^2(x)$ 



 $f_{NL}^{equiv} = \beta^{2} f \chi \left[ P \chi / P \phi \right]^{2} (k_{pivot}) -4 < f_{NL} < 80 \text{ WMAP5 (± 5 Planck)} \\ => \operatorname{constrain} f \chi^{3} \chi > h^{2} (P \chi / P \phi \sim 2\varepsilon) => rela \text{ ded limit})$ 



medium  $\chi$ >h regime:





*large* χ>h *regime*:



Standard Parameters of Cosmic Structure Formation





Iocal quadratic non-G constraint: -4< f<sub>NL</sub><80 WMAP5 (± 5-10 Planck1yr)

maps into (considerably relaxed) <  $F_{NL}\chi_{b+\chi>h}$  constraint

small  $\chi$ >h regime:  $\beta=2$  f $\chi \chi$ >h f=fγ



 $f_{NL}^{equiv} = \beta^2 f \chi [P \chi / P \phi]^2 (k_{pivot})$ 

=> constrain  $f\chi^3 \chi > h^2 (P\chi/P\phi \sim 2\varepsilon => relaxed limit)$ 



Friday, 30 September, 11