#### Planck2016 PIP XLVI lowL pol Reduction of large-scale systematic effects in HFI polarization maps and estimation of the reionization optical depth





#### Combined CMB power spectra of Planck 2015; *EE from LFI 79 GHz below Lcut ~ 30*



3



## $\tau \sim .07 [(1+z_{re})/10]^{3/2}$



- the scattering of CMB creates E mode polarization
- amplitude TT ~  $(A_s \exp[-2\tau])$
- EE /TE feature at low L
- EE ~  $A_s \tau^2$ , TE ~  $A_s \tau$
- TT 1st acoustic peak 5600  $\mu\text{K}^2$  cf. EE reionization  ${}^{\sim}10^{\text{-2}}\,\mu\text{K}^2$







Fig. 36. *TT* and *TE*  $100 \times 143$  cross-spectra, plotted for the SimBaL results with and without the bias correction. The black lines shows the fiducial spectra for  $\tau = 0.05, 0.07, \text{ and } 0.09$ .

au baseline results HFI 100x143 (283 simulations) and check of consistency HFI x LFI (10 simulations)





Planck2016 PIP XLVI lowL pol ArXiv 1605.02985v1

# $\tau$ posterior probabilities: for HFI baseline 100x143 LFI-HFI consistency 70x100 and 70x143

- QML estimator: narrowest posterior
- simulation-based likelihood: more accurate posterior for low τ
- PCL estimator: wider posterior, same peak
- LFI x HFI cross: wider posterior still, same peak



#### $\tau$ from CMB (history) •consistency of all Planck $\tau$ results decreased error on τ Planck2016 PIP XLVI lowL pol mean drift to lower τ ArXiv 1605.02985v1 WMAP1 "TE" **WMAP** $\tau = 0.090 \pm 0.030$ 0.048 Spergel et al., 2006 WMAP 3-years TT,TE,EE $\tau = 0.089 \pm 0.014$ WMAP 9-years Hinshaw et al., 2013 + $\tau = 0.081 \pm 0.012$ 11 WMAP + eCMB + BAO + HOPlanck 2013 WMAP9 for EE $\tau = 0.075 \pm 0.013$ Planck Coll. XV. 2014 WMAP TT, TE, EE + Planck353 $= 0.089 \pm 0.032$ Planck Coll. XVI, 2014 Planck TT $\tau = 0.067 \pm 0.022$ 70 GHz LFI for EE Planck lowP Planck Coll. XIII, 2015 Planck $\tau = 0.078 \pm 0.019$ Planck TT+lowP 2015 $= 0.067 \pm 0.016$ 11 Planck TT+lensing+BAO $= 0.066 \pm 0.013$ .... Planck TT+lowP+lensing+BAO Planck Coll., pre-2016 $= 0.053^+ 0.012$ HFIxLFI consistency results Planck (:EE 70x143) PCL $\tau = 0.052^+ 0.011$ $\tau = 0.049^{+0.015}_{-0.019}$ for the 70×100 cross spectra Planck (:EE HFI 100X143) PCL 0 014 Planck $\tau = 0.053^{+0.012}_{-0.016}$ for the 70×143 cross spectra $\tau$ = 0.055 ± 0.009 Planck (:EE HFI 100x143) QML pre-2016 0.05 0.10 0.15 0 10 Τ

#### Planck cosmic parameters

Planck 2015

pre-2016

Parameter	PlanckTT+lowP 68 % limits	PlanckTT+SIMlow 68 % limits	PlanckTTTEEE+lowP 68 % limits	PlanckTTTEEE+SIMlow 68 % limits
$\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$	$0.02222 \pm 0.00023$	$0.02214 \pm 0.00022$	$0.02225 \pm 0.00016$	0.02218 ± 0.00015
$\Omega_{\rm c}h^2$	$0.1197 \pm 0.0022$	$0.1207 \pm 0.0021$	$0.1198 \pm 0.0015$	$0.1205 \pm 0.0014$
100 <i>θ</i> <sub>MC</sub>	$1.04085 \pm 0.00047$	$1.04075 \pm 0.00047$	$1.04077 \pm 0.00032$	$1.04069 \pm 0.00031$
τ	$0.078\pm0.019$	$0.0581 \pm 0.0094$	$0.079 \pm 0.017$	$0.0596 \pm 0.0089$
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	$3.089 \pm 0.036$	$3.053 \pm 0.019$	$3.094 \pm 0.034$	$3.056 \pm 0.018$
<u>ns</u>	$0.9655 \pm 0.0062$	$0.9624 \pm 0.0057$	$0.9645 \pm 0.0049$	$0.9619 \pm 0.0045$
<i>H</i> <sub>0</sub>	$67.31 \pm 0.96$	$66.88 \pm 0.91$	$67.27 \pm 0.66$	$66.93 \pm 0.62$
$\Omega_m \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots$	$0.315 \pm 0.013$	$0.321 \pm 0.013$	$0.3156 \pm 0.0091$	$0.3202 \pm 0.0087$
<i>σ</i> <sub>8</sub>	$0.829 \pm 0.014$	$0.8167 \pm 0.0095$	0.831 ± 0.013	$0.8174 \pm 0.0081$
$\sigma_8\Omega_{ m m}^{0.5}$	$0.466 \pm 0.013$	$0.463 \pm 0.013$	$0.4668 \pm 0.0098$	$0.4625 \pm 0.0091$
$\sigma_8\Omega_{ m m}^{0.25}$	$0.621 \pm 0.013$	$0.615 \pm 0.012$	$0.623 \pm 0.011$	$0.6148 \pm 0.0086$
Zre	9.89 <sup>1.8</sup> -1.6	8.11 ± 0.93	10.0 <sup>1.7</sup> 1.5	$8.24 \pm 0.88$
$10^9 A_s e^{-2\tau}$	$1.880\pm0.014$	$1.885\pm0.014$	1.882 ± 0.012	$1.886 \pm 0.012$
Age/Gyr	$13.813 \pm 0.038$	$13.829 \pm 0.036$	$13.813 \pm 0.026$	$13.826 \pm 0.025$

relaxing tension of clusters and primary CMB

Planck 2013 sigma8 ~0.83 (WMAP  $\tau$  .089), Planck 2015 sigma8 ~0.83 (.815 + lens) (cleaned LFI  $\tau$  .079 (.017)) Planck 2016 sigma8 ~PIP: 0.817 (.812 + lens) (HFI  $\tau$  .059 (.009))

## $\tau$ , $A_s$ , $n_s$ degeneracies

Planck2016 PIP XLVI lowL pol ArXiv 1605.02985v1



#### **Reionisation history constraints from Planck**



## Planck & HST: Reionisation over 6 < z < 12



Planck indicates `Fast Reionization': Making (questionable) assumptions about their ionizing output the demographics of early galaxies can match the Planck T with reionisation contained with 12 < z < 6 Ly alpha, but OIII, CIV, CIII 4 with z > 7.5, eg 2015 z=7.7 may, 8.68 july, what about z=11.1 ? ~March HST grism ?? Focus now turns to measuring the ionizing output of early galaxies + EoR redshifted 21 cm cosmic dawn experiments: P16 => shift in in frequency target Robertson et al (2015), see also Bouwens+(2015), Mitra+(2015)

## Summary

- Planck HFI EE spectra at low L unveiled: major systematics improvements. accurate End-to-End HFI map simulations
- *τ* now nearly independent of other cosmic parameters
- τ= .055 +-.009 & lower z<sub>re</sub>=8.2-8.8 +-1 => no tension of CMB z<sub>re</sub> with reionization models from first stars / galaxies
- e.g., no need for early "ring of fire" of exotic BHs at z~11+
- glorious **interplay with earliest "optical" galaxies** (HST, ... JWST), Ly alpha and future OIII and other metal lines
- width of reionization rise is poorly-determined. complex reionization history not determinable
- cosmic dawn detectability with redshifted 21 cm on track, though targeting lower z<sub>re</sub>
- no "patchy reionization" kinetic SZ detection (yet), but cluster kSZ detection
- r constraint from tensor mode reionization B-pol very hard TBD (cf. recombination B-pol constraint from BKP <.09 (107)</li>

## Summary

- much improved maps at low multipoles: generalized destriper solution for map-making from rings, solving simultaneously for band-pass-mismatch leakage, inter-calibration errors, and ADC induced gain variations and dipole distortions (to achieve a nearly complete correction of the ADC nonlinearities).
- At HFI's 100, 143, and 217 GHz, we are now close to being noise limited on all angular scales (with small remaining systematic errors due to the empirical ADC corrections at the map making level).



### Planck2016 PIP XLVI lowL pol ArXiv 1605.02985v1

#### sets of PCL EE cross spectra (pseudo-CL)

- very consistent with QML
- map simulations reproduce systematics
- debiasing from the ADC NL dipole distortion small (only ell<4)</li>
- QML lower dispersion & error bars



Analog to Digital Conversion major systematic to have included. Used warm HFI data Foreground corrections also very important

au baseline results HFI 100x143 (283 simulations) and check of consistency HFI x LFI (10 simulations)