Cosmic ray physics in galaxy formation


Christoph Pfrommer

in collaboration with

R. Pakmor, K. Schaal, C. Simpson, V. Springel Heidelberg Institute for Theoretical Studies, Germany

Computational Galaxy Formation, Ringberg 2016


Puzzles in galaxy formation: cosmic-ray feedback?





Interactions of CRs and magnetic fields

- CRs scatter on magnetic fields → isotropization of CR momenta
- CR streaming instability: Kulsrud & Pearce 1969
 - if v_{cr} > v_A, CR current provides steady driving force, which amplifies an Alfvén wave field in resonance with the gyroradii of CRs
 - scattering off of this wave field limits the (GeV) CRs' bulk speed ~ v_A
 - wave damping: transfer of CR energy and momentum to the thermal gas
- ightarrow CRs exert a pressure on the thermal gas by means of scattering off of Alfvén waves





CR transport

- total CR velocity $\mathbf{v}_{cr} = \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{st} + \mathbf{v}_{di}$ (where $\mathbf{v} \equiv \mathbf{v}_{das}$)
- CRs stream down their own pressure gradient relative to the gas, CRs diffuse in the wave frame due to pitch angle scattering by MHD waves (both transports are along the local direction of **B**):

$$egin{aligned} oldsymbol{v}_{ ext{st}} = -rac{oldsymbol{B}}{\sqrt{4\pi
ho}}rac{oldsymbol{b}\cdotoldsymbol{
abla} P_{ ext{cr}}}{|oldsymbol{b}\cdotoldsymbol{
abla} P_{ ext{cr}}|}, \qquad oldsymbol{v}_{ ext{di}} = -\kappa_{ ext{di}}oldsymbol{b}rac{oldsymbol{b}\cdotoldsymbol{
abla} arepsilon_{ ext{cr}}}{arepsilon_{ ext{cr}}}, \end{aligned}$$


• energy equations with $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_{th} + \rho v^2/2$:

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[(\varepsilon + P_{\mathsf{th}} + P_{\mathsf{cr}}) \mathbf{v} \right] = P_{\mathsf{cr}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}} \cdot \nabla P_{\mathsf{cr}}$$

$$\frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathsf{cr}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[P_{\mathsf{cr}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}} + \varepsilon_{\mathsf{cr}} (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{di}}) \right] = -P_{\mathsf{cr}} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}} \cdot \nabla P_{\mathsf{cr}}$$

$$\iff \frac{\partial \varepsilon_{\mathsf{cr}}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left[\varepsilon_{\mathsf{cr}} (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{di}}) \right] = -P_{\mathsf{cr}} \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{st}})$$

Messier 87 at radio wavelengths



 $\nu = 1.4 \text{ GHz (Owen+ 2000)}$



 $\nu=$ 140 MHz (LOFAR/de Gasperin+ 2012)


- high-ν: freshly accelerated CR electrons low-ν: fossil CR electrons → time-integrated AGN feedback!
- LOFAR: halo confined to same region at all frequencies and no low-ν spectral steepening → puzzle of "missing fossil electrons"



Solutions to the "missing fossil electrons" problem

solutions:

- special time: M87 turned on ~ 40 Myr ago after long silence
 - ⇔ conflicts order unity duty cycle inferred from stat. AGN feedback studies (Birzan+ 2012)
- Coulomb cooling removes fossil electrons
 - → efficient mixing of CR electrons and protons with dense cluster gas
 - \rightarrow predicts γ rays from CRp-p interactions: $p + p \rightarrow \pi^0 + \ldots \rightarrow 2\gamma + \ldots$




C.P. (2013)



The gamma-ray picture of M87

- high state is time variable
 → jet emission
- low state:
 - (1) steady flux
 - (2) γ -ray spectral index (2.2)
 - = CRp index
 - = CRe injection index as probed by LOFAR
 - (3) spatial extension is under investigation (?)



Rieger & Aharonian (2012)


ightarrow confirming this triad would be smoking gun for first γ -ray signal from a galaxy cluster!



Estimating the CR pressure in M87

hypothesis: low state of γ -ray emission traces π^0 decay in ICM:

- X-ray data → n and T profiles
- assume $X_{cr} = P_{cr}/P_{th}$ (heating due to streaming CRs in steady state)
- $F_{\gamma} \propto \int dV P_{\rm cr} n$ enables to estimate $P_{\rm cr}/P_{\rm th} = 0.3$ (allowing for Coulomb cooling with $\tau_{\rm Coul} = 40 \, {\rm Myr}$)



Rieger & Aharonian (2012)

 \rightarrow in agreement with non-thermal pressure constraints from dynamical potential estimates $_{(\text{Churazov+}\ 2010)}$



Cosmic-ray heating vs. radiative cooling (1)

CR Alfvén-wave heating:

(Loewenstein, Zweibel, Begelman 1991, Guo & Oh 2008, Enßlin+ 2011)

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathsf{cr}} = -oldsymbol{v}_{\mathcal{A}} oldsymbol{\cdot} oldsymbol{
abla} P_{\mathsf{cr}} = -oldsymbol{v}_{\mathcal{A}} \left(oldsymbol{X}_{\mathsf{cr}}
abla_{\mathit{r}} \langle P_{\mathsf{th}}
angle_{\Omega} + rac{\delta P_{\mathsf{cr}}}{\delta \mathit{I}}
ight)$$

- Alfvén velocity $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho}$ with $B \sim B_{\rm eq}$ from LOFAR and ρ from X-ray data
- X_{cr} inferred from γ rays
- P_{th} from X-ray data
- ullet pressure fluctuations $\delta P_{\rm cr}/\delta I$ (e.g., due to weak shocks of $\mathcal{M}\simeq$ 1.1)

radiative cooling:


$$C_{\text{rad}} = n_e n_i \Lambda_{\text{cool}}(T, Z)$$


• cooling function Λ_{cool} with $Z \simeq Z_{\odot}$, all quantities determined from X-ray data



Cosmic-ray heating vs. radiative cooling (2)


Global thermal equilibrium on all scales in M87






- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations






- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations





- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations







- isobaric perturbations to global thermal equilibrium
- CRs are adiabatically trapped by perturbations



Theory predicts observed temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1 \text{ keV}$




Virgo cluster cooling flow: temperature profile X-ray observations confirm temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1$ keV





Emerging picture of CR feedback by AGNs

- (1) during buoyant rise of bubbles: CRs diffuse and stream outward
- → CR Alfvén-wave heating
- (2) if bubbles are disrupted, CRs are injected into the ICM and caught in a downdraft that is excited by the rising bubbles
- → CR advection with flux-frozen field
- ightarrow adiabatic CR compression and energizing: $P_{\rm cr}/P_{\rm cr,0}=\delta^{4/3}\sim$ 20 for compression factor $\delta=$ 10
- (3) CR escape and outward streaming \rightarrow CR Alfvén-wave heating





Conclusions on cosmic-ray heating in M87


- LOFAR puzzle of "missing fossil electrons" in M87 solved by mixing with dense cluster gas and Coulomb cooling
- predicted γ rays identified with low state of M87
 → estimate CR-to-thermal pressure of X_{cr} = 0.3
- CR Alfvén wave heating balances radiative cooling on all scales within the central radio halo (r < 35 kpc)
- local thermal stability analysis predicts observed temperature floor at $kT \simeq 1 \text{ keV}$



AREPO simulations - flowchart

ISM observables:

Physical processes in the ISM:




loss processes
gain processes
observables
populations



AREPO simulations with cosmic ray physics

ISM observables:

Physical processes in the ISM:






AREPO simulations with cosmic ray physics

ISM observables:

Physical processes in the ISM:






AREPO simulations with cosmic ray physics

ISM observables:


Physical processes in the ISM:






CR shock acceleration

Comparing simulations to novel exact solutions that include CR acceleration









Sedov explosion

density



C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)


specific thermal energy






Sedov explosion with CR acceleration

density




C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)

specific cosmic ray energy






Sedov explosion with CR acceleration



C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)




Sedov explosion with CR acceleration



C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)



Time evolution of SFR and energy densities





C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)

- CR pressure feedback suppresses SFR more in smaller galaxies
- energy budget in disks is dominated by CR pressure
- magnetic dynamo faster in Milky Way galaxies than in dwarfs




MHD galaxy simulation without CRs









MHD galaxy simulation with CRs









Gas density in galaxies from 10^{10} to $10^{12}\,\mathrm{M}_\odot$






CR energy density in galaxies from 10^{10} to $10^{12} \, \mathrm{M}_{\odot}$







Temperature-density plane: CR pressure feedback




 $M_{200} = 10^{12} \,\mathrm{M}_{\odot}$ 10^{6} 10^{5} $T_{\rm eff}$ [K] 10^{4} 10^{3} 10^{-1} 10^{0} 10^{2} ρ/ρ_0

C.P., Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel (2016)




Cosmological simulations with cosmic rays








Cosmological simulations with cosmic rays





CR shock acceleration at structure formation shocks





Cosmic ray simulations

projects:

- cosmological galaxy formation simulations:
 CR-driven galactic winds, magnetic dynamo → Rüdiger's talk
- ISM physics: CR-driven outflows → Christine Simpson
- radio mode feedback: cosmic-ray heating
- non-thermal cluster emission: radio halos and relics
- ightarrow versatile CR-MHD code to explore the physics of galaxy formation



CRAGSMAN: The Impact of Cosmic RAys on Galaxy and CluSter ForMAtioN





Literature for the talk

AGN feedback by cosmic rays:

 Pfrommer, Toward a comprehensive model for feedback by active galactic nuclei: new insights from M87 observations by LOFAR, Fermi and H.E.S.S., 2013, ApJ, 779, 10.

Simulating cosmic rays:

 Pfrommer, Pakmor, Schaal, Simpson, Springel, Simulating cosmic ray physics on a moving mesh, 2016.



Additional slides



Self-consistent CR pressure in steady state

CR streaming transfers energy per unit volume to the gas as

$$\Delta \varepsilon_{\mathsf{th}} = -\tau_{\mathsf{A}} \mathbf{v}_{\mathsf{A}} \cdot \nabla P_{\mathsf{cr}} \approx P_{\mathsf{cr}} = X_{\mathsf{cr}} P_{\mathsf{th}},$$

where $\tau_A = \delta I/v_A$ is the Alfvén crossing time and δI the CR pressure gradient length

- comparing the first and last term suggests that a constant CR-to-thermal pressure ratio X_{cr} is a necessary condition if CR streaming is the dominant heating process
- ightarrow thermal pressure profile adjusts to that of the streaming CRs!



Critical length scale of the instability (\sim Fields length)

CR streaming transfers energy to a gas parcel with the rate

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm cr} = -\mathbf{v}_A \cdot \nabla P_{\rm cr} \sim f_s v_A |\nabla P_{\rm cr}|,$$

where f_s is the magnetic suppression factor


- ullet line and bremsstrahlung emission radiate energy with a rate \mathcal{C}_{rad}
- limiting size of unstable gas parcel since CR Alfvén-wave heating smoothes out temperature inhomogeneities on small scales:

$$\lambda_{\text{crit}} = \frac{f_{\text{s}} v_{A} P_{\text{cr}}}{C_{\text{rad}}}$$


- however: unstable wavelength must be supported by the system
 - \rightarrow constraint on magnetic suppression factor f_s



Critical length scale of the instability (~ Fields length)




CR heating dominates over thermal conduction




Impact of varying Alfvén speed on CR heating

global thermal equilibrium:



local stability criterion:



parameterise $B \propto \rho^{\alpha_B}$, which implies $v_A = B/\sqrt{4\pi\rho} \propto \rho^{\alpha_B-1/2}$:

- $\alpha_B = 0.5$ is the geometric mean, implying $v_A = \text{const.}$
- $\alpha_B = 0$ for collapse along **B**, implying $v_{A,\parallel} \propto \rho^{-1/2}$
- $\alpha_B = 1$ for collapse perpendicular to **B**, implying $v_{A\perp} \propto \rho^{1/2}$

