Vortex instabilities in self-gravitating disc-planet interactions

Min-Kai Lin John Papaloizou

DAMTP University of Cambridge

Ringberg, June 18, 2011

Self-gravitating protoplanetary discs

Inclusion of gravitational potential Φ of the disc via

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = 4\pi G \rho$$

Why bother?

- Planet formation via gravitational instability
- Specific disc-planet interactions
- Disc vortices are over-densities

Previous works: Li et al. (2009), Lyra et al. (2009).

Plan

- Results
- Linear theory
- Linear calculations
- Hydrodynamic simulations I
- Hydrodynamic simulations II

Gaps in protoplanetary discs

Necessary condition for Rossby wave instability satisfied for gaps opened by a planet. Vortensity (potential vorticity) profile with extrema at gap edges.



Gaps in protoplanetary discs

Necessary condition for Rossby wave instability satisfied for gaps opened by a planet. Vortensity (potential vorticity) profile with extrema at gap edges.



Effect of self-gravity



Consider a series of disc-planet simulations with increasing M_d :

Linear problem (barotropic discs)

- Perturb the system, e.g. $\Sigma \to \Sigma + \delta \Sigma(r) \exp i(\sigma t + m\phi)$.
- Linearize to get ODE for $S \equiv c_s^2 \delta \Sigma / \Sigma + \delta \Phi$ (enthalpy plus gravitational potential).

$$\delta \Phi = -G \int K_m(r,\xi) \delta \Sigma(\xi) \xi d\xi,$$

 $L(S) = \delta \Sigma$

• L is a linear operator

$$L(S) = \frac{mS}{r\bar{\sigma}(1-\bar{\nu}^2)}\frac{d}{dr}\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) + \cdots,$$

 $ar{\sigma}=\sigma+m\Omega,\ ar{
u}=ar{\sigma}/\kappa$ and $\eta=\kappa^2/2\Omega\Sigma$ is the *vortensity*.

• When $\bar{\sigma}(r_c) = 0$, need $d\eta/dr = 0$ there.

Energy balance

$$\int rS^*L(S)dr = \int rS^*\delta\Sigma dr = \text{energy}.$$

- Association of disturbance with vortensity extremum: $\eta'(r_c) \simeq 0$ and $\bar{\sigma}(r_c) \simeq 0$.
- On LHS, only keep vortensity gradient term.

Energy balance

$$\int rS^*L(S)dr = \int rS^*\delta\Sigma dr = \text{energy}.$$

- Association of disturbance with vortensity extremum: $\eta'(r_c) \simeq 0$ and $\bar{\sigma}(r_c) \simeq 0$.
- On LHS, only keep vortensity gradient term.

$$\int \frac{m|S|^2}{\bar{\sigma}(1-\bar{\nu}^2)} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) dr \sim \int r c_s^2 \frac{|\delta \Sigma|^2}{\Sigma} dr - G \int \int r \xi \mathcal{K}_m(r,\xi) \delta \Sigma^*(r) \delta \Sigma(\xi) dr d\xi$$

Energy balance

$$\int rS^*L(S)dr = \int rS^*\delta\Sigma dr = \text{energy}.$$

- Association of disturbance with vortensity extremum: $\eta'(r_c) \simeq 0$ and $\bar{\sigma}(r_c) \simeq 0$.
- On LHS, only keep vortensity gradient term.

$$\int \frac{m|S|^2}{\bar{\sigma}(1-\bar{\nu}^2)} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right) dr \sim \int r c_s^2 \frac{|\delta\Sigma|^2}{\Sigma} dr - G \int \int r \xi K_m(r,\xi) \delta\Sigma^*(r) \delta\Sigma(\xi) dr d\xi$$

- Sign of LHS depends on sign of $(1/\eta)''/\Omega'$ at r_c . Note $\Omega' < 0$.
- Weak SG: RHS > 0 so $r_c \text{ is } \min(\eta)$
- Numerics \rightarrow vortex instability only at vortensity minimum.
- Balance does not work if SG too strong (RHS < 0, gravitational disturbance).

Formal argument

- Consider neutral vortex mode with co-rotation at vortensity minimum: $\bar{\sigma}(r_c) = \eta'(r_c) = 0.$
- Tweak self-gravity via $G \rightarrow G + \delta G$ (only in linear response, $\delta \Phi$).
- Perturb eigensolution: $\sigma \to \sigma + \delta \sigma$ with $\delta \sigma = \delta \sigma_R + i\gamma$; $S \to S + \delta S$; $\delta \Sigma \to \delta \Sigma + \delta \Sigma_1$. γ is assumed small negative (unstable).

Can show:

$$\gamma = \beta \left. \frac{d^2 \eta}{dr^2} \right|_{r_c} \times \delta G,$$

with $\beta > 0$ for Ω decreasing outwards. Vortex modes have $\eta''(r_c) > 0$. Need $\delta G < 0$ to destabilize them, i.e. increasing SG stabilizes them.

Note: Papaloizou-Pringle instability also stabilized by self-gravity.

Stabilization of vortex modes

- Numerical solution to linear problem with fixed temperature profile.
- Also solved with $\delta \Phi = 0$.

Growth rate $|\gamma|$ as a function of azimuthal wave-number *m*:



Solid: with SG in response. Dotted: no SG in response.

Eigenfunctions



Solid: completely with SG. Dotted: completely without SG.

Getting more vortices

Now include SG all the way in linear problem. Parameter $Q_m \propto 1/M_d$.



- Higher *m* preferred with increasing SG. Get more vortices.
- Loss of low *m* (stabilization by SG in response).
- Enable higher *m* (effect of SG via basic state).

Resisted vortex merging



• Multi-vortex configuration is sustained longer with increasing SG.

Resisted vortex merging



 $\bullet~{\rm Get}~\alpha$ growth for intermediate range of disc mass.

Resisted vortex merging



Vortices as co-orbital planets



Vortices as co-orbital planets



Vortices as co-orbital planets



 $\bullet~$ SG imply minimum inter-vortex distance. If still larger than critical $\rightarrow~$ no merging.

Summary & future work

- Self-gravity stabilizes low *m* Rossby wave instability through linear response.
- More vortices at planetary gaps as self-gravity increased.
- Mutual gravitational interaction resists vortex merging.

See Lin & Papaloizou (2011a) for vortex modes. See Lin & Papaloizou (2011b) for vortensity maximum modes.

Summary & future work

- Self-gravity stabilizes low *m* Rossby wave instability through linear response.
- More vortices at planetary gaps as self-gravity increased.
- Mutual gravitational interaction resists vortex merging.

See Lin & Papaloizou (2011a) for vortex modes. See Lin & Papaloizou (2011b) for vortensity maximum modes.

In preparation: 3D self-gravitating disc-planet simulations.



References

Li H., Lubow S. H., Li S., Lin D. N. C., 2009, ApJL, 690, L52 Lin M.-K., Papaloizou J., 2011a, MNRAS, in press Lin M.-K., Papaloizou J., 2011b, MNRAS, in press Lyra W., Johansen A., Zsom A., Klahr H., Piskunov N., 2009, A&A, 497, 869