From the complex plane to planet formation

Min-Kai Lin mklin924@cita.utoronto.ca

Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics

July 10 2014

Research interests

- Astrophysical fluid dynamics of accretion/protoplanetary disks
- Disk-planet interactions, orbital migration
- Self-gravitating disks
- Disk instabilities
- Magneto-hydrodynamics (new)
- Non-linear numerical simulations
- Linear hydrodynamics

Today:

instabilities and large-scale structures in astrophysical disks

Sub-structures in protoplanetary disks





(TW Hya, Debes et al., 2013)

(HD 169142, Quanz et al., 2013)

Non-axisymmetric structures



Dust trapping at pressure maxima



Asymmetric trapping by vortices

Meheut et al. (2012): add dust to disk with vortices



Vortex formation vs. destruction



(Lesur & Papaloizou, 2010)

Dust trapping vs. diffusion

Particle concentration vs. turbulent diffusion (Lyra & Lin, 2013)

$$\frac{\partial \rho_d}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho_d \mathbf{v}_d) = D \nabla^2 \rho_d$$

- D: from instability of vortex core
- $\mathbf{v}_d = \mathbf{v}_g + \tau c_s^2 \nabla \ln \rho_g$, isothermal gas
- \mathbf{v}_g from model of an elliptic vortex (e.g. Kida vortex)

• au friction time

Dust plus fluid equations \rightarrow PDE for $\rho_d(x, y)$

Parameters:

 $\delta = D/H^2\Omega$: dimensionless turbulence strength

St = $\tau \Omega$: dimensionless friction (Stokes number)



Steady-state dust distribution in elliptic vortices

$$ho_d(a) \propto \exp{\left(-rac{a^2}{2H_v^2}
ight)},$$

with

$$H_{v}(\chi, \delta, \operatorname{St}) = \frac{H_{g}}{f(\chi)} \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\delta + \operatorname{St}}}.$$

Dust density averaged over an ellipse (semi-minor axis a, aspect-ratio χ) EXACT solution for certain vortex models

(e.g. Kida vortex with $\chi=7
ightarrow$ no pressure gradient along ellipses)



Application to observations



(SAO 206462, Pérez et al., 2014) $\chi_{\rm obs} \sim$ 7, model+ data $\rightarrow v_{\rm turb} \sim 0.22 c_s$.

Vortex formation from the Rossby wave instability

- Kelvin-Helmholtz instability in a rotating disk (Lovelace et al., 1999)
- Requires a radially-structured disk (e.g. planet gaps)



Numerical examples of the RWI



ATHENA code: 3D disk in a box



ZEUS code: 3D self-gravitating adiabatic disk, spherical grid

Numerical examples of the RWI

PLUTO code



3D disk with viscosity jump in radius 3D self-gravitating disk-planet simulation

[Note: global simulations plotted in a box $(r \rightarrow x, \phi \rightarrow y)$]

Step 1: linear problem

- **③** Steady, axisymmetric, vertically hydrostatic density bump at $r = r_0$
- **2** Perturb fluid equations, e.g. $\rho \rightarrow \rho + \delta \rho(r, z) \exp i(m\phi + \sigma t)$
- Some linear equations to get equation for $W \equiv \delta p / \rho$:

$$L(r,z;\sigma)W=0.$$

•
$$W \rightarrow$$
 eigenfunction ; $\sigma \rightarrow$ eigenvalue

Co-rotation singularity where $\bar{\sigma} \equiv \sigma + m\Omega = 0$,

$$L = \dots + \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Sigma \Omega}{\kappa^2} \right)$$

RWI:
$$\operatorname{Re}[\bar{\sigma}(r_0)] \simeq 0$$
 and $\left. \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Sigma \Omega}{\kappa^2} \right) \right|_{r_0} \simeq 0$

Step 1: linear problem

- **③** Steady, axisymmetric, vertically hydrostatic density bump at $r = r_0$
- **2** Perturb fluid equations, e.g. $\rho \rightarrow \rho + \delta \rho(r, z) \exp i(m\phi + \sigma t)$
- Some linear equations to get equation for $W \equiv \delta p / \rho$:

$$L(r,z;\sigma)W=0.$$

•
$$W \rightarrow$$
 eigenfunction $; \sigma \rightarrow$ eigenvalue

Co-rotation singularity where $\bar{\sigma} \equiv \sigma + m\Omega = 0$,

$$L = \dots + \frac{1}{\bar{\sigma}} \frac{d}{dr} \left(\frac{\Sigma \Omega}{\kappa^2} \right).$$

Example: RWI in 3D polytropic disk ($p \propto
ho^{1+1/n}$)

Convert to 1D problem,

$$W(r,z) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} W_l(r) C_l^{\lambda}(z/H),$$

where $C_l^{\lambda}(x)$ are Gegenbauer polynomials. (Generalized Legendre/Chebyshev.)

$$L(r, z; \sigma)W = 0 \rightarrow A_{l}(W_{l}) + B_{l}(W_{l-2}) + C_{l}(W_{l+2}) = 0.$$

- Technical but neat
- No freedom in upper disk boundary conditions

Example: RWI in 3D polytropic disk $(p \propto \rho^{1+1/n})$



Example: RWI in 3D polytropic disk ($p \propto \rho^{1+1/n}$)

Magnitude of vertical motion decreases with increasing n (more compressible)



Comparison to non-linear simulations

Upward motion seen in non-linear hydrodynamic simulations of Meheut et al. (2012):



Meheut et al. (2012) \rightarrow mm dust lifted to disk surface

Extension to adiabatic 3D disks

- $p \propto \rho^{\Gamma}$ in basic state only
- Energy equation Ds/Dt= 0, $s\equiv p/
 ho^{\gamma}\propto
 ho^{\Gamma-\gamma}$
- $\gamma \geq \Gamma \geq 1$, density bump \rightarrow entropy dip

 $V_1W + \overline{V}_1Q = 0$ $V_2W + \overline{V}_2Q = 0$

- ${\cal W}=\delta {\it p}/
 ho
 ightarrow$ pressure perturbation
- ${\it Q}=c_s^2\delta
 ho/
 ho
 ightarrow$ density perturbation

Finite-difference/pseudo-spectral method:

$$W(r_i, z) \equiv W_i(Z) = \sum_{k=1}^{N_z} w_{ki} \psi_k(Z/Z_{\max})$$

 $[\psi_k = T_{2(k-1)}$ are Chebyshev polynomials]

Baroclinity/buoyancy, $\nabla p \times \nabla \rho \neq 0$

$$\overline{S} \equiv Q - \frac{\gamma}{\Gamma} W$$

 \rightarrow a measure of baroclinity (= 0 if $\Gamma = \gamma$)



Example: meridional vortical motion in adiabatic disks



Comparison with hydrodynamic simulations

• Isothermal disk, adiabatic evolution ($\Gamma \equiv 1, \gamma = 1.4$)



July 10 2014 19 / 33

Gap formation and stability in non-isothermal disks [2014 CITA Summer student program]

- FARGO 2D, disk-planet
- $t_{\text{cool}} = \tilde{\beta} \times t_{\text{libration}}$



Very long cooling actually gives *shorter* vortex lifetime (R. Les & Lin, in prep.)

Vortex-formation in layered-accretion disks?



(Axisymmetric model from Landry et al., 2013)

- RWI requires low viscosity, but only have dead zone near midplane
- Rossby vortices have weak vertical structure (vorticity columns)

Linear RWI in layered disks

First task for any linear problem: equilibrium state |. But:

- Need localized radial gradient for RWI
- Want a viscous atmosphere

Linear RWI in layered disks

First task for any linear problem: equilibrium state . But:

- Need localized radial gradient for RWI
- Want a viscous atmosphere



(Lin, 2014b)

- Choose viscosity and v_R s.t. $R\rho v_R = \dot{M}(z)$
- Strictly isothermal gas

PLUTO simulations of layered disks

Spherical grid, $z \in [0, 2H]$ at $R = r_0$. ν decreases by 10² from active (upper) to dead (lower) layer. all dead, linear growth rate = 0.199Ω Case 1: $(\alpha \sim 10^{-4})$ half dead, linear growth rate = 0.182Ω Case 2: $(\alpha \sim 10^{-4} \text{ for } z \in [0, H]; \ \alpha \sim 10^{-2} \text{ for } z \in [H, 2H])$ $H^2/\nu \gg t_{\rm RWI}$ Local viscous time 0.3 0.3 0.08 0.13 X= 7.0 0.2 0.2 0.05 0.07 2.7 0.1 0.1 0.01 0.02 $\phi - \phi_0)/\pi$ $(\phi - \phi_0)/\pi$ \leftarrow Rossby numbers \rightarrow 0.0 0.0 -0.02 -0.04 \leftarrow Case 1 -0.1-0.05 Case 2 \rightarrow -0.1-0.10 -0.2 -0.08 -0.15 -0.2-00.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 0.7 0.8 0.9 1.0 1.1 1.2 1.3 r/ro M-K. Lin (CITA) July 10 2014 23 / 33

Standard result for Jupiter-mass planet in a low viscosity unlayered disk $(\alpha \sim 10^{-4})$



Repeat simulation with layered viscosity



Rossby vortex does not survive against viscous layer



Vertical domain size: $z \in [0, 3H]$, viscous layer $z \in [2, 3H]$, $\Sigma_{\rm visc}/\Sigma \sim 0.04$

• Lesson: long term vortex formation sensitive to disk vertical structure

• Next step: back-reaction on α

Restart a low-viscosity simulation with a viscous atmosphere



Vertical domain size: $z \in [0, 3H]$, viscous layer $z \in [2, 3H]$, $\Sigma_{\rm visc}/\Sigma \sim 0.04$

- Lesson: long term vortex formation sensitive to disk vertical structure
- Next step: back-reaction on α

Magnetized massive astrophysical disks

Principle routes to turbulent angular momentum transport in rotating disks:



May have MRI and GI in:

- Early evolution of protoplanetary disks
- Layered accretion in PPDs
- Black hole accretion disks
- Galactic disks

Latest work in PPDs: Fromang et al. (2004) using full simulations

Step 1: linear stability (Lin, 2014a)

Shearing box resistive MHD plus Poisson, linearize \rightarrow

$$\begin{split} &\frac{\mathrm{i}\sigma}{c_s^2}W + \mathrm{i}k_x\delta v_x + (\ln\rho)'\,\delta v_z + \delta v_z' = 0,\\ &\mathrm{i}\sigma\delta v_x - 2\Omega\delta v_y = -\mathrm{i}k_x\tilde{W} + \frac{B_z}{\mu_0\rho}\left[\delta B_x' - \mathrm{i}k_x\left(\delta B_z + \epsilon\delta B_y\right)\right],\\ &\mathrm{i}\sigma\delta v_y + \frac{\kappa^2}{2\Omega}\delta v_x = \frac{B_z}{\mu_0\rho}\delta B_y',\\ &\mathrm{i}\sigma\delta v_z = -\tilde{W}' - \frac{B_y}{\mu_0\rho}\delta B_y',\\ &\mathrm{i}\bar{\sigma}\delta B_x = B_z\delta v_x' + \eta\delta B_x'' + \eta'\delta B_x' - \mathrm{i}k_x\eta'\delta B_z,\\ &\mathrm{i}\bar{\sigma}\delta B_y = B_z\delta v_y' - B_y\Delta - S\delta B_x + \eta\delta B_y'' + \eta'\delta B_y',\\ &\mathrm{i}\bar{\sigma}\delta B_z = -\mathrm{i}k_x B_z\delta v_x + \eta\delta B_z'',\\ &\delta\Phi'' - k_x^2\delta\Phi = \frac{\rho}{c_s^2Q}W. \end{split}$$

Pseudo-spectral method reduces numerical complexity

Effect of SG on the MRI through the background

Rule of thumb for MRI:

 $\lambda_{
m MRI}\lesssim 2H$

 $\lambda_{\mathrm{MRI}} \sim \frac{v_A}{\Omega} = \frac{B_z}{\sqrt{\mu_0 \rho}} \frac{1}{\Omega}, \ H = H(Q)$ decreases with increasing SG So, for fixed $\beta \equiv c_s^2/v_A^2$,



Note: $Q = 0.5 \leftrightarrow Q_{2D} = 1.5$.

Limiting field strength

Example: polytropic disk with $p \propto
ho^2$, then $\lambda_{\mathrm{MRI}} \lesssim 2H
ightarrow$

$$eta^{-1/2} \lesssim rac{\sqrt{15}}{4\pi} \sqrt{Q} rccos igg(rac{Q}{1+Q} igg).$$

For $Q \ll 1$,

$$\frac{B_z}{c_{s0}\Omega}\sqrt{\frac{\pi G}{\mu_0}}\lesssim \frac{\sqrt{15}}{16}.$$

Both $v_A, \lambda_{\mathrm{MRI}}
ightarrow 0$ and H
ightarrow 0 as $ho(0)
ightarrow \infty$.

Effect of SG on the MRI through the perturbation

Modes with perturbed magentic energy minimized at z = 0



Effect of SG on the MRI through the perturbation

Modes with perturbed magentic energy maximized at z = 0



Effect of SG on the MRI through the perturbation



Co-existence of MRI and GI



- 'Avoided crossing' of modes
- MRI-GI interaction only possible with same parity

Linear

Non-axisymmetric modes in magnetized self-gravitating disks

- 2D global, 3D local
- Numerically technical (integro-differential equation eigenvalue problem)
- Effect of magnetic field/MRI on angular momentum transport by gravity, global structures
- 3D edge instabilities with self-gravity





Non-linear Eccentric modes in radially-structured disks (?)



FARGO 2D

ZEUS 3D

PLUTO 3D

- Finite difference
- Poisson: 2D FFT
- Finite difference
- Poisson: linear solver
- Godunov
- Poisson: spherical harmonic expansion

Non-linear

• Observational relevance: HD 142527



Estimated $Q \sim 1$ —2 (Fukagawa et al., 2013; Christiaens et al., 2014)

• Theoretical relevance: episodic accretion GI in dead zone \rightarrow MRI, but what kind of GI? Turbulence or large-scale waves?

References

- Christiaens V., Casassus S., Perez S., van der Plas G., Ménard F., 2014, ApJL, 785, L12
- Debes J. H., Jang-Condell H., Weinberger A. J., Roberge A., Schneider G., 2013, ApJ, 771, 45
- Fromang S., Balbus S. A., De Villiers J.-P., 2004, ApJ, 616, 357
- Fukagawa M., Tsukagoshi T., Momose M., Saigo K., Ohashi N., Kitamura Y., Inutsuka S.-i., Muto T., Nomura H., Takeuchi T., Kobayashi H., Hanawa T., Akiyama E., Honda M., Fujiwara H., Kataoka A., Takahashi S. Z., Shibai H., 2013, PASJ, 65, L14
- Isella A., Pérez L. M., Carpenter J. M., Ricci L., Andrews S., Rosenfeld K., 2013, ApJ, 775, 30
- Landry R., Dodson-Robinson S. E., Turner N. J., Abram G., 2013, ApJ, 771, 80
- Lesur G., Papaloizou J. C. B., 2010, A&A, 513, A60
- Lin M.-K., 2014a, ArXiv e-prints
- Lin M.-K., 2014b, MNRAS, 437, 575
- Lovelace R. V. E., Li H., Colgate S. A., Nelson A. F., 1999, ApJ, 513, 805
- Lyra W., Lin M.-K., 2013, ApJ, 775, 17
- Meheut H., Keppens R., Casse F., Benz W., 2012, A&A, 542, A9
- Meheut H., Meliani Z., Varniere P., Benz W., 2012, A&A, 545, A134
- Pérez L. M., Isella A., Carpenter J. M., Chandler C. J., 2014, ApJL, 783, L13
- Quanz S. P., Avenhaus H., Buenzli E., Garufi A., Schmid H. M., Wolf S., 2013, ApJL, 766, L2
- van der Marel N., van Dishoeck E. F., Bruderer S., Birnstiel T., Pinilla P., Dullemond C. P., van Kempen T. A.,
- Schmalzl M., Brown J. M., Herczeg G. J., Matthews G. S., Geers V., 2013, Science, 340, 1199