

Bond since 1993, Canada since 2001, 1st CSA pre-launch contract 2002-09, post-launch 2010-11, 2011-15 Saturday, 6 April, 13





Planck+Herschel Launch May14 09 Fr. Guiana



1.5m telescope, HFI bolometers @6freq <100mK, LFI HEMTs@3freq, some bolometers & all HEMTS are polarization sensitive

HFI+LFI performance to spec or better





Left earth at ~10 km/s, 1.5 million km in 45 days, cooling on the way (20K, 4K, 1.6K, 0.1K 4 stage). @L2 on July 2 09 -almost no trajectory correction @operational temp; Survey started on Aug 13 09 spin@1 rpm, 40-50 minutes on the same circle, covers all-sky in ~6 month, ~5 HFI surveys, ~8 LFI







galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data



morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data



morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data



morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data



morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck+ACTpol+SPTpol) Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

> **subdominant** phenomena (**BSI**, isocurvature)

non-Gaussianity (WMAP, **Planck**, LSS) spiky nG preheating CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, acceleration trajectories

morphs into the nonlinear **Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids;** galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck+ACTpol+SPTpol) Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

Reionization Histories (Planck+21-cm)

State State

subdominant phenomena (**BSI**, isocurvature)

non-Gaussianity (WMAP, **Planck**, LSS) spiky nG preheating CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, acceleration trajectories

morphs into the nonlinear **Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids;** galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck+ACTpol+SPTpol) (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

Secondary Anisotropies (tSZ, kSZ, WL, reion, CIB; hydro) Reionization Histories (Planck+21-cm)

subdominant phenomena (**BSI**, isocurvature)

Contraction of the second

non-Gaussianity (WMAP, Planck, LSS) spiky nG preheating CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, acceleration trajectories

morphs into the nonlinear **Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids;** galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck+ACTpol+SPTpol) (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

Secondary Anisotropies (tSZ, kSZ, WL, reion, CIB; hydro) Reionization Histories (Planck+21-cm)

subdominant phenomena (**BSI**, isocurvature)

non-Gaussianity (WMAP, Planck, LSS) spiky nG preheating CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, acceleration trajectories

Dark Energy Histories (SN+WL+BAO+CMB+cls)

morphs into the nonlinear **Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids;** galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck+ACTpol+SPTpol) (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

Foregrounds, Sources Component Separation (7 veils+CMB,Planck, ..) **Secondary Anisotropies** (tSZ, kSZ, WL, reion, CIB; hydro) (Planck+21-cm) subdominant

Reionization Histories

phenomena (**BSI**, isocurvature)

non-Gaussianity (WMAP, **Planck**, LSS) spiky nG preheating CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, acceleration trajectories

Dark Energy Histories (SN+WL+BAO+CMB+cls)

morphs into the nonlinear **Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids;** galaxies (SZ) gastrophysical simulations with feedback from AGN / starbursts / SN .. confront CMB+LSS data

Planck1.3yr data products



+ Likelihood code & T spectra

Planck1.3yr papers March 21, 2013



+ a PIP on kSZ





goal: high enough resolution to plumb all cosmic parameter information. but high L foregrounds, extragalactic sources => higher L expts ACT, SPT = PlanckEXT to nail the "nuisance"

media response was huge & wonderful for cosmology e.g., CMB map tops Mar 22 NYTimes & in Canada CSA media emphasis age is 80Myr older than before Mar 21 a perfect U - NOT



As ancient light travels toward Earth, it is warped and distorted by gravity. Planck measured this distortion to create a map of mass in the universe. Areas with more mass appear darker, while areas of the universe with less mass appear lighter. Gray areas are obscured by the disk of the Milky Way.



in black

Sun) appears slightly cooler than the southern hemisphere (below the Sun),

as shown in this enhanced image. An unexpectedly large cold spot is circled

Planck2011: 26 early papers + ERCSC; 2012-12 ~20 intermediate papers



we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (tilted \Lambda CDM)

raw digitized information: WMAP: 1.15 Tbits in 9yrs, cf. MyLifeBits, Gordon Bell, 1.28 Tbits in 9yrs, Planck 36 Tbits, ACT 304 Tbits. Radically Compress to high quality Bits. Terabit=10¹²bits=125 GigaBytes.

a new figure of merit for experiments, <InVOLUME_{ps}> ~ posterior Shannon entropy, of a Bayesian flow from time-streams => maps (pixel amplitudes = parameters) => isotropic power spectra C_L => cosmic parameters + experimental and Galactic/extragalactic "nuisance" parameters

Planck Frequency Maps



Some Planck Component Separated Maps

Planck_2013 30 GHz



Commander: Low-Frequency Emission Amplitude @ 30 GHz

C/R: Low-Frequency Emission Amplitude @ 30 GHz

LF Synchrotron + bremsstrahlung

Galactic Carbon Monoxide

Commander: "discovery" CO map @ 100 GHz

Commander: Dust Amplitude @ 353 GHz



C/R: "discovery" CO map @ 100 GHz

C/R: Dust Amplitude @ 353 GHz

HF Thermal Dust Emission









small scale leftover = where most of Planck's information resides> 100X

CMB Power Spectrum Propaganda



CMB Power Spectrum Propaganda: best fit basic 6 cosmic parameter model



CMB Power Spectrum Propaganda: best fit basic 6 cosmic parameter model. Superb fit





Excellent agreement between the Planck temperature spectrum at high I and the predictions of the tilted *ACDM model.* **Checks with polarization data provide full support to this conclusion.**

extensive grid of cosmic models strongly constrain the x in tilted $\Lambda CDM + x$, x = subdominant deviations Planck basic parameters (Ω_b , H_o ...), agree with BBN, BAO measure of acoustic scale. but H_o lower than HST, small age change No evidence for additional neutrino-like relativistic particles beyond the three families of neutrinos in the standard model. The first 30 multipoles are low for the standard ΛCDM , with no obvious explanation.

Exact scale invariance ruled out, $n_s < 1$, at >4 σ Planck alone, >5.4 σ Planck + WMAP polarization No substantial evidence for beyond basic single field slow roll, Bunch-Davis vacuum, standard kinetic term inflation. f_{NL}



Excellent agreement between the Planck temperature spectrum at high I and the predictions of the tilted Λ CDM model. Checks with polarization data provide full support to this conclusion.

extensive grid of cosmic models strongly constrain the x in tilted $\Lambda CDM + x$, x = subdominant deviations Planck basic parameters (Ω_b , H_o ...), agree with BBN, BAO measure of acoustic scale. but H_o lower than HST, small age change No evidence for additional neutrino-like relativistic particles beyond the three families of neutrinos in the standard model. The first 30 multipoles are low for the standard ΛCDM , with no obvious explanation.

Exact scale invariance ruled out, $n_s < 1$, at >4 σ Planck alone, >5.4 σ Planck + WMAP polarization No substantial evidence for beyond basic single field slow roll, Bunch-Davis vacuum, standard kinetic term inflation. f_{NL}

Calabrese+12 our ACT12,SPT12,WMAP9 CMB grand unified spectra



the sound of the machine: replay





ACT12 vs Planck1.3 in limited sky region Hajian13@CITA

excellent agreement

cross correlation also looks great




WMAP W-band 7 year







Boomerang 145 GHz

WMAP vs Boomerang03 vs HFI Planck1.3



Saturday, 6 April, 13

Piacentini13



WMAP W-band, Template Cleaned



Cleaned with Planck 353 GHz dust map and low-frequency templates. 12' resolution.

Planck SMICA Map



Planck/SMICA map, 5' resolution.

P1.3: stacked intensity and polarization around hot & cold spots: data vs simulation







best-fit P1.3yr TT model predicts the polarization. works perfectly at all frequency cross correlations strengthens the case for the Galactic/extragalactic nuisance parameter model being accurate - error bars on EE and TE are not shown. for 2014





(CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)



standard inflation space: $n_s dn_s/dlnk r = T/S$ @k-pivots

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck +ACTpol+SPTpol) Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)



standard inflation space: n_s dn_s/dlnk r =T/S @k-pivots

Dark Energy Histories (SN+WL+BAO+CMB+cls) Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck +ACTpol+SPTpol) Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)



standard inflation space: n_s dn_s/dlnk r =T/S @k-pivots

Dark Energy Histories (SN+WL+BAO+CMB+cls) Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck +ACTpol+SPTpol)

> Reionization Histories (Planck+21-cm)

Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)



Dark Energy Histories (SN+WL+BAO+CMB+cls)

Recombination Histories (RecFast => CosmoRec, HyRec (Planck +ACTpol+SPTpol)

> Reionization Histories (Planck+21-cm)

Inflation Histories (CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

CMB Polarization, Gravity Waves (Planck, ACTpol, ABS, Spider, Quiet2) r=T/S, **acceleration trajectories**



Saturday, 6 April, 13



Saturday, 6 April, 13

our Planck1.3 tilted LCDM Basic 6 + nuisance parameters

	Planck (CMB+lensing)		Planck+WP+highL+BAO	
Parameter	Best fit	68 % limits	Best fit	68 % limits
$\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$	0.022242	0.02217 ± 0.00033	0.022161	0.02214 ± 0.00024
$\Omega_{ m c}h^2$	0.11805	0.1186 ± 0.0031	0.11889	0.1187 ± 0.0017
$100\theta_{\rm MC}$	1.04150	1.04141 ± 0.00067	1.04148	1.04147 ± 0.00056
au	0.0949	0.089 ± 0.032	0.0952	0.092 ± 0.013
$n_{\rm s}$	0.9675	0.9635 ± 0.0094	0.9611	0.9608 ± 0.0054
$\ln(10^{10}A_s)$	3.098	3.085 ± 0.057	3.0973	3.091 ± 0.025

cf. Calabrese+12 our ACT12,SPT12,WMAP9 CMB-only 6 + nuisance

Parameter	WMAP9	WMAP9	WMAP9	WMAP9
	+ACT	+SPT	+ACT+SPT	
$100\Omega_b h^2$	2.260 ± 0.041	2.231 ± 0.034	2.245 ± 0.032	0.02264 ± 0.00050
$100\Omega_c h^2$	11.46 ± 0.43	11.16 ± 0.36	11.23 ± 0.36	0.1138 ± 0.0045
$100\theta_A$	1.0396 ± 0.0019	1.0422 ± 0.0010	1.0420 ± 0.0010	0.721 ± 0.025
au	0.090 ± 0.014	0.082 ± 0.013	0.085 ± 0.013	2.41 ± 0.10
n_s	0.973 ± 0.011	0.9650 ± 0.0093	0.9678 ± 0.0088	0.972 ± 0.013
$10^9 \Delta_R^2$	2.22 ± 0.10	2.15 ± 0.10	2.17 ± 0.10	0.089 ± 0.014

	Planck (CMB+lensing)		Planck+WP+highL+BAO	
Parameter	Best fit	68 % limits	Best fit	68 % limits
$\Omega_{\rm b}h^2$	0.022242	0.02217 ± 0.00033	0.022161	0.02214 ± 0.00024
$\Omega_c h^2$	0.11805	0.1186 ± 0.0031	0.11889	0.1187 ± 0.0017
100θ _{MC}	1.04150	1.04141 ± 0.00067	1.04148	1.04147 ± 0.00056
τ	0.0949	0.089 ± 0.032	0.0952	0.092 ± 0.013
n _s	0.9675	0.9635 ± 0.0094	0.9611	0.9608 ± 0.0054
$\ln(10^{10}A_{s})$	3.098	3.085 ± 0.057	3.0973	3.091 ± 0.025
Ω _Λ	0.6964	0.693 ± 0.019	0.6914	0.692 ± 0.010
Ω _m	0.3036	0.307 ± 0.019		
σ_8	0.8285	0.823 ± 0.018	0.8288	0.826 ± 0.012
Z _{re}	11.45	$10.8^{+3.1}_{-2.5}$	11.52	11.3 ± 1.1
H_0	68.14	67.9 ± 1.5	67.77	67.80 ± 0.77
$10^{9}A_{s}$	2.215	$2.19^{+0.12}_{-0.14}$		
$\Omega_{\rm m}h^2$	0.14094	0.1414 ± 0.0029		
$\Omega_m h^3$	0.09603	0.09593 ± 0.00058		
<i>Y</i> _P	0.247785	0.24775 ± 0.00014		
Age/Gyr	13.784	13.796 ± 0.058	13.7965	13.798 ± 0.037
Ζ	1090.01	1090.16 ± 0.65		
r	144.58	144.96 ± 0.66		
100 <i>θ</i> ,	1.04164	1.04156 ± 0.00066	1.04163	1.04162 ± 0.00056
Zdrag	1059.59	1059.43 ± 0.64		
r _{drag}	147.74	147.70 ± 0.63	147.611	147.68 ± 0.45
k _D	0.13998	0.13996 ± 0.00062		
100θ _D	0.161196	0.16129 ± 0.00036		
Z _{eq}	3352	3362 ± 69		
100θ _{eq}	0.8224	0.821 ± 0.013		
$r_{\rm drag}/D_{\rm V}(0.57)$	0.07207	0.0719 ± 0.0011		

tilted LCDM basic 6 cosmic parameters +nuisance parameters & derived parameters

small shift in the pie chart make-up of the Universe



Before Planck

After Planck

BaryonAcousticOscillation Optical Surveys agree with Planck1.3 forecast for tilted LCDM



H0 tensions

H0 from Planck 67.95 ± 1.5 (km/s/Mpc) => 67.80 ± 0.77 +BAO



H0 69.7 ± 2.0 act12+wmap9 71.5 ± 1.7 spt12+wmap9 71.2 ±1.6 act12+spt12+wmap9

age from Planck 13.**79**6 ± 0.058 Gy => 13.**79**8 ± 0.037 +BAO

age 13.752 ± 0.096 act12+wmap9 13.686 ± 0.065 spt12+wmap9 13.682 ± 0.063 act12+spt12+wmap9

howand the	Curved space, Ω _k
beyond the	Dynamical dark energy, w
standard	Non standard abundance of primordial Helium fraction, Y _P
model?	Neutrino properties, i.e. how many (N _{eff}) and how massive (Σm_{v})
<i>ilted</i> LCDM+x,	Curvature of the power spectrum of primordial fluctuations (running dn _s /dlnk)
x=?	anomalies exist: large scale statistical anisotropy & non-Gaussianity

no compelling evidence for

an "isocurvature" part in the primordial fluctuations or broken scale invariance cosmic strings (Gµ/c²<1.3 10^{-7})

nonG signatures of inflation at medium to high res (flocal=2.7±5.8, fequil =-42±75, fortho=-25±39 68%CL) evolution of the fine structure constant, dark matter annihilation, primordial magnetic fields...

	Planck+WP	Planck+WP+BAO	Planck+WP+highL	Planck+WP+highL+BAO
Parameter	Best fit 95% limits			
$\overline{\Omega_K}$	-0.0105 $-0.037^{+0.043}_{-0.049}$	$0.0000 0.0000^{+0.0066}_{-0.0067}$	$-0.0111 \ -0.042^{+0.043}_{-0.048}$	$0.0009 - 0.0005^{+0.0065}_{-0.0066}$
$\Sigma m_{\nu} [eV] \ldots \ldots$	0.022 < 0.933	0.002 < 0.247	0.023 < 0.663	0.000 < 0.230
$N_{\rm eff}$	$3.08 \qquad 3.51^{+0.80}_{-0.74}$	$3.08 \qquad 3.40^{+0.59}_{-0.57}$	$3.23 \qquad 3.36^{+0.68}_{-0.64}$	3.22 $3.30^{+0.54}_{-0.51}$
Y_{P}	$0.2583 0.283^{+0.045}_{-0.048}$	$0.2736 0.283^{+0.043}_{-0.045}$	$0.2612 0.266^{+0.040}_{-0.042}$	$0.2615 \qquad 0.267^{+0.038}_{-0.040}$
$dn_{\rm s}/d\ln k\ldots$	$-0.0090 \ -0.013^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$	$-0.0102 \ -0.013^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$	-0.0106 $-0.015^{+0.017}_{-0.017}$	-0.0103 $-0.014^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$
$r_{0.002}$	0.000 < 0.120	0.000 < 0.122	0.000 < 0.108	0.000 < 0.111
<i>w</i>	-1.20 $-1.49^{+0.65}_{-0.57}$	-1.076 $-1.13^{+0.24}_{-0.25}$	-1.20 $-1.51^{+0.62}_{-0.53}$	-1.109 $-1.13^{+0.23}_{-0.25}$

parameters sensitive to the damping tail $N_{v,eff} = 3.30 \pm 0.27$, $X_{He} = 0.267 \pm 0.020$ $\Sigma m_v < 0.230$ ev primary *cf.* cl-PSZ



ACT12 final spectra & params, 1500 sq deg, ~600 for params, SPT12 2540 sq deg



Iensing breaks geometrical degeneracy: WMAP+ACT+ACTIens alone cf. Planck alone cf. Planck+BAO



lensing breaks geometrical degeneracy: Planck alone cf. Planck+BAO





CITA = Cosmic Information Theory & Analysis: IT from BIT, from BITs in IT, Studying the Cosmic Tango en-TANGO-ment Universe=System+Res=Data+Theory =Signal(s)+noise=EFT+Hidden variables we compress the Petabit++ observed cosmic info into a precious few bits encoding 6+ parameters of the Minimal Cosmic Standard model (tilted \Lambda CDM) WMAP: 1.15 Tbits in 9yrs, cf. MyLifeBits, Gordon Bell, 1.28 Tbits in 9yrs, Planck 36 Tbits, ACT 304 Tbits. Radically Compress to high quality Bits. Terabit=10¹²bits=125 GigaBytes. Shannon entropy difference $\Delta S_{fi}(q, DT) = \int dq P_f \ln P_f^{-1} - \int dq P_i \ln P_i^{-1}$ a new figure of merit for experiments, <InVOLUME_{ps}> = posterior Shannon entropy: how t (radically compressed) one-dimensional entropy of cosmic parameters, the high quality bits we quest, did/will change as the experiments became/become more & more precise: how the **inflation P1.3** cf. P2.5+extensions forecasts $n_{s}\Gamma = T/S f_{nl} \Omega_{\Lambda} W_{0} \epsilon_{s} = (\partial \ln V_{de}/\partial \psi)^{2}/4$ $\Delta S(q,DT)_{\Xi}$ pre-Boom n : 0.9608± 0.0054 =>± 0.002 (Pext) *i=ACT10* ଥ dn /dlnk: -0.014± 0.016 to ACT WMAP1+Boom+CBI+Acbar+ r: < 0.111 => < 0.007-0.013 (Pext) 0 $f_{n|}: 2.7 \pm 5.8 \text{ local} => \pm 5 (\text{Pext})$ ACT10/SPT11+WMAP7+ entropy relative Planck2.5+ fn: -42.3 ± 75.2 equil -25.3 ± 39.2 ortho CHIME+EUCLID++ P2.5+ACTpol+SPTpol++ dark energy P2.5+Spider+ABS/VIP+Quiet2+KECK+EBEX+ -5 Ω : 0.692 ± 0.010 w_o: -1.13±0.12 if w *FOM=1D* 10 Ω : -.0005 ± 0.0033 Pixie/CoRE 5 10 15 20 Ω 2D Δ S_{2f} for DarkE can improve by ~5 bits *TIME-2000* vr





Saturday, 6 April, 13

Consistent with single field slow roll, standard kinetic term & vacuum (with f_{NL} upper limits) *uniform acceleration* line $\varepsilon \equiv 3KE / (KE+PE) = constant$ is strongly ruled out => early universe acceleration must change over observable scales (as well as to end inflation)



exponential potential models(power-law inf), the simplest hybrid inflationary models (Spontaneously Broken susy), and monomial potential models of degree n >2 do not provide a good fit to the data. No running. no CDM isocurvature of axion <3.9% (95% CL) & curvaton (< 0.25%) types. *Natural = pNGB-Inflation, monodromy = driven pNGB-Inflation, Roulette Inflation (shrinking holes in extra-dim), brane inflation survive.*

$\begin{array}{l} \textit{matrix-QU-forecast} \text{ for} \\ \textbf{Spider24days+Planck2.5yr:} \\ \textit{for r=0.12 input for } m^2 \phi^2 \\ (2\sigma_r \sim 0.02 \text{ including fgnds}) \end{array}$



can get B-mode CL bandpower shapes but without the precision needed to check

-nt ≈r/8 consistency





Saturday, 6 April, 13


Saturday, 6 April, 13

semi-blind & informed reconstruction of acceleration histories & S/T power spectra

informed example: oscillation patterns of monodromy





Planck1.3 CMB Lensing: reconstructed projected gravitational potential map (!) ~ dark+baryonic matter map, **Wiener filter (beware: fluctuations about Wiener = mean-field)**



CMB Lensing: Planck13 cf. ACT12 and SPT12, good agreement





Large Scale Anomalies



a Bianchi VII template pattern soaks up a number of large scale anomalies (the template parameters are not viable for a physical Bianchi VII model with UltraLargeScale rotation & related shear)





COBE 89 launch

WMAP 01 launch

anomalous patterns persist

Planck 09 launch

Full-Sky Map

NonGaussian 3-point-pattern measure $f_{n|}: 2.7 \pm 5.8 \text{ local} => \pm 5 (Pext)$

- f_{nl} : 42.3 ± 75.2 equil -25.3 ± 39.2 ortho & f_{NL} eff

Anomalies

primordial non-Gaussianity $\zeta(x) = \zeta_G(x) + \mathbf{F_{NL}} (\chi_b(x))$ $\zeta(x) = \zeta_G(x) + f_{NL} (\zeta_G^2(x) - \langle \zeta_G^2 \rangle)$ modulating preheating local smooth. use optimal pattern estimator cf. DBI inflation: non-quadratic kinetic energy **f_{NLeff}** + cold spots cosmic/fundamental strings/defects $\zeta(x) = \zeta_G(x) + \mathbf{F}_{NL} (g_b(x))$ from end-of-inflation & preheating Planck 09 launch Full-Sky Map NonGaussian 3-point-pattern measure fn: 2.7 ± 5.8 local => ± 5 (Pext) -fnl: 42.3 ± 75.2 equil -25.3 ± 39.2 ortho CMB peaks (cold & hot) rare event nonG tails Anomalies

power spectrum asymmetry: dipole near Galactic Equator points towards LSS anomaly



octupole quadrupole_alignment within ~10 deg



Are LargeScale anomalies statistically significant? no said WMAP7 Bennett+

Seem to be says Planck1.3, so theorists should look again

Is there a "Grand Unified Model" tying the LS anomalies to one cause?

Nothing compelling so far. Bianchi VII template soaks up some but is not tied to a viable physical model

Topology constraints from Planck1.3 say Size of the Universe > 2*distance to recombination for a variety of flat, plus and minus curved models, as did COBE and WMAP.

Inflation models prefer a super-big universe, with nothing special just beyond our Hubble volume leaking in - maybe

Thus, can anomalies relate to inflation, given the strong non-G patternconstraints from the 3-point function coded in f_{NL}

e.g., from LS-intermittency due to an ultraLS modulating field remembering post-inflation entropy generation (preheating) ВFHK09, ВВFH13





entropy generation in preheating from the coherent inflaton (origin of all matter & radiation) nonG from post-inflation but pre-entropy generation (B²FH13) drift trajectories can lead to pre-shock-in-time caustics and other phase space convergences in the deformations ∂ In **a** / ∂ χ_i(x), ∂ In **a** / ∂ **g**(x) =>

P[In a(x), t_{shock} $\chi_i(x)$, g(x), $t_{end-of-inflation}$]

NL,nonG curvature distribution($\chi_i(x), g(x),...$)





m

N F

pre-heating patch (<1cm-now, <10⁻³⁰ cm-then) s

Barnaby,Bond,Huang,Kofman09

quantum diffusion spatial jitter

> roulette oscillations highly damped => no-non-G if redirect by χ_i, **g** => non-G

let there be heat

74

www.youtube.com/watch?v=FW__su-W-ck&NR=1

entropy generation in preheating from the coherent inflaton (origin of all matter & radiation) nonG from post-inflation but pre-entropy generation (B²FH13) drift trajectories can lead to pre-shock-in-time caustics and other phase space convergences in the deformations $\partial \ln a / \partial \chi_i(x)$, $\partial \ln a / \partial g(x) =>$

a =

P[In a(x), t_{shock} $\chi_i(x)$, g(x), $t_{end-of-inflation}$] NL,nonG curvature distribution($\chi_i(x), g(x),...$)

A visualized 2D slice in lattice simulation





nonG from large-scale modulations of the shock-in-times of preheating





Saturday, 6 April, 13



CBIn	ol to Apr'05 @Chile CPI	thermal SZ clusters		
CDIP		QUaD @SP	Planck PSZ	Z, cnts, ymap
53+35 cls (>=40)		230 cls => 1227 Planck09.4	861 confirmed, 178 by Planck + 683 known, most z<.4, many ~ 10 ¹⁵ M _{sun} 0. <z<0.8< th=""></z<0.8<>	
Car		52+ bolomete + HEMTs @L 9 frequencies	rs .2	
			Menanteau+12	2, Hasselfield+12
2004	2006	2008	ACT Celestial Equ	ator cls, 68 (49+19
	2005 200	224 (>=750)	502 sq deg =>91 i	n 952 deg ² , 0.1 <z<1.3< th=""></z<1.3<>
>96 OVRO	Acbar@SP ~1 blind SZA@Cal	SPT 1000 bolos (a)SPole	100% purity for No significant evidence M _{sz} -N ₂₀₀ weak correla	• S/N>5. 60% > 4.5 e of SZ/BCG offset tion, large scatter
/BIMA	3 cls (z>1), x?	ACT ACT	23+68~91 cls	
array 38 cls	AMI 7+1 cls >=50+25	APEX 3000 bolos 3 freqs @	S Chile	SPTpol ACTpol
80s -90s Ryle OVRO	GBT Must	~400 bolos@Chile ~25 cls	SCUBA2 12000 bolos	ALMA CCAT@Chile
	4 cls (~25 Cl	LASH)	JCMT @Hawaii	LMT@Mexico





thermal SZ clusters. # Source 13.27

some nearby wellknown clusters from Perseus to VIrgo

Shapley Supercluster









thermal SZ clusters PSZ: 189 cls for cosmology constraints. sig8 = .77 +-.03, OmM=.29+-.02 cf. primary sig8=.826+-.012



Saturday, 6 April, 13



Saturday, 6 April, 13

SZ power spectrum from ymaps

thermal SZ clusters

MILCA tSZ map



thermal SZ clusters

SPT Reichardt+12 different approach cf. ACT Hasselfield+12 X-ray mass proxy cf. dynamical mass proxy (lower bound for $\sigma 8$, Ωm) multi-scale S/N likelihood cf. Profile Based Amplitude Analysis single filter 5.9' not matched θ_{500} corrected ACT and SPT at most mild tension (ACT SZ scaling priors - very broad, would that we knew them better)



ACT Hasselfield+12

thermal SZ clusters











kinetic SZ: $\Delta T/T = \int n_e v_{e||} / c \sigma_T dlos$ $\sim \int J_e \cdot dr$ spectrally degenerate with primary anisotropies $\int kSZ(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \sim M_{gas} V_{bulk} / DA^2$



Compton-y map: Feedback = AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)



kinetic SZ map (log): Feedback = AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)


HFt of the transformed of the tr

kinetic SZ: $\Delta T/T = \int n_e v_{e||} /c \sigma_T dlos$ $\sim \int \int e dr$ spectrally degenerate with primary anisotropies $\int kSZ(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \sim M_{gas}V_{bulk} /DA^2$

ACT x BOSS direct detection of the kSZ effect:

Hand+ 2012 arXiv/1203.4219 $<\Delta T$ ng > using 7,500 brightest of 27291 luminous BOSS galaxies 220 sq deg overlap with ACT equatorial strip 3x110 sq deg 2008-10 data. <z>~0.5.

Planck13 X MCXC 1750 X-rays cls Meta Catalogue of X-ray detected Clusters made for Planck <z>~0.18, <v_radial> = 72 +- 60 km/s monopole blind search < 254 km/s 95% CL no super-bulk flow aka the Dark Flow ~1000 km/s

Saturday, 6 April, 13



kinetic SZ map (log): Feedback

= AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)



PUPPY and our hydro sims agree: slower falloff than Arnaud+ X-ray UPP; although there are mass and redshift bin variations, universality is pretty good; variance in pressure profiles is wide **pressure clumping is not small**, *important for SZ- a consequence of merging history* **Universal Entropy Profile?** *not as good as PUPPY. obs cf. theory needs work* rare clusters are still consistent with std LCDM; some highly non-eq, bullet el Gordo ++ **O**8^{SZ} vs **O**8 mild tension from P1.3, ACT&SPT CL, P1.3 SPT ncl; ACT ncl ok broad scaling priors $\Sigma m_v \sim 0.3$ ev a possibility Use physical observables rather than funneling through halo Mass i.e., not **n**cluster(Mhalo Z) but **n**cluster (Ysz, Mlens, Yx, Lx, Tx, Lcl, opt, Rich, ... **z**, gold-sample, thresholds) + $C_L^{SZ}(cuts) + \xi_{cc}(r|n_{cl}) + f_{gas}$ these all deliver valuable cosmic gastrophysics. **Can they deliver fundamental physics:** dark energy EOS?? σ_8 even? primordial non-Gaussianity??? theory/obs dispersion/systematics assessment is critical => mock sims for robust measures

Saturday, 6 April, 13

howand the	Curved space, Ω _k
beyond the	Dynamical dark energy, w
standard	Non standard abundance of primordial Helium fraction, Y _P
model?	Neutrino properties, i.e. how many (N_{eff}) and how massive (Σm_v)
tilted LCDM+x.	Curvature of the power spectrum of primordial fluctuations (running dns/dlnk)
x=2	primordial gravitational waves, r _{0.002}
X= {	anomalies exist: large scale statistical anisotropy & non-Gaussianity
no compelling evid	ence either for

an "isocurvature" part in the primordial fluctuations or broken scale invariance cosmic strings ($G\mu/c^2 < 1.3 \ 10^{-7}$)

nonG signatures of inflation at medium to high res (flocal=2.7±5.8, fequil =-42±75, fortho=-25±39 68%CL) Evolution of the fine structure constant, dark matter annihilation, primordial magnetic fields...

	Planck+WP	Planck	Planck+WP+BAO		Planck+WP+highL		Planck+WP+highL+BAO	
Parameter	Best fit 95% lin	nits Best fit	95% limits	Best fit	95% limits	Best fit	95% limits	
$\overline{\Omega_K}$	-0.0105 -0.037	0.043 0.049 0.0000	$0.0000^{+0.0066}_{-0.0067}$	-0.0111	$-0.042^{+0.043}_{-0.048}$	0.0009	$-0.0005^{+0.0065}_{-0.0066}$	
$\Sigma m_{\nu} [eV] \ldots \ldots$	0.022 < 0.92	0.002	< 0.247	0.023	< 0.663	0.000	< 0.230	
$N_{\rm eff}$	3.08 3.51 ⁺⁰	.80 .74 3.08	$3.40^{+0.59}_{-0.57}$	3.23	$3.36^{+0.68}_{-0.64}$	3.22	$3.30_{-0.51}^{+0.54}$	
$Y_{\rm P}$	0.2583 0.283+0	0.045 0.2736	$0.283^{+0.043}_{-0.045}$	0.2612	$0.266^{+0.040}_{-0.042}$	0.2615	$0.267^{+0.038}_{-0.040}$	
$dn_{\rm s}/d\ln k\ldots$	-0.0090 -0.013	-0.018 -0.0102	$-0.013^{+0.018}_{-0.018}$	-0.0106	$-0.015^{+0.017}_{-0.017}$	-0.0103	$-0.014^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$	
$r_{0.002}$	0.000 < 0.12	0.000	< 0.122	0.000	< 0.108	0.000	< 0.111	
<i>w</i>	-1.20 -1.49_	$_{0.65}^{0.65}$ -1.076	$-1.13^{+0.24}_{-0.25}$	-1.20	$-1.51^{+0.62}_{-0.53}$	-1.109	$-1.13^{+0.23}_{-0.25}$	

parameters sensitive to the damping tail $N_{v,eff} = 3.30 \pm 0.27$, $X_{He} = 0.267 \pm 0.020$ $\Sigma m_v < 0.230$ ev primary cf. cl-PSZ

Saturday, 6 April, 13





Planck is a project of the European Space Agency, with instruments provided by two scientific Consortia funded by ESA member states (in particular the lead countries: France and Italy) with contributions from NASA (USA), and telescope reflectors provided in a collaboration between ESA and a scientific Consortium led and funded by Denmark.

Bond since 1993, Canada since 2001, 1st CSA pre-launch contract 2002-09, post-launch 2010-11, 2011-15 Saturday, 6 April, 13