# **PRIMARY END @ 2012?**

# **PRIMARY END @ 2012?**



# **PRIMARY END @ 2012?**



CMB ~2009+ Planck1+WMAP8+SPT/ACT/Quiet+Bicep/QuAD/Quiet +Spider+Clover

## *inflation* = accelerating driven "vacuum", then differentially & now differentially?

**r(k) ≈ 16ε**(k)

 $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$  = - d*In*H / d*In*a ; Hamilton-Jacobi V(ψ)≈3M<sub>P</sub><sup>2</sup>H<sup>2</sup>(1- $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ /3); dψ/ d*In*a= ±√ $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ 

### resolution k ~ dynamics Ha trajectory probabilities for early-inflatons & late-inflatons (partially) blind cf. informed "theory" priors.

#### compress info onto a variety of modes make trajectories, then recompress onto new modes

over the years: mode expansion of H, InH,  $\mathcal{E}$ , dIn $\mathcal{E}$ /dInk,  $n_s$ , InP<sub>s</sub> measure-dependent, priors from only allowed trajectories, V-expansion not great prior dependence without B info - even for r

modes in power, effectively quadratic (amplitudeXamplitude) space filtering very similar to Wiener filtering on amplitudes

in praise of the oldest way to do parameter estimation, direct (amplitudeXamplitude) space with large matrices. spider feasible. we will also plan to use Xfaster as well.

Consistent with single field slow roll, standard kinetic term & vacuum (with  $f_{NL}$  upper limits) *uniform acceleration* line  $\varepsilon \equiv 3KE / (KE+PE) = constant$  is strongly ruled out => early universe acceleration must change over observable scales (as well as to end inflation)



*r without B-mode pol is delicate* rule out: exponential potential models( power-law inf), the simplest hybrid inflationary models (Spontaneously Broken SUSY) & Φ<sup>n</sup>, n >2 monomial potentials of chaotic inflation *some* popular *inflation survivors:* Natural = pNGB, monodromy =driven pNGB, Roulette (shrinking holes in extra-dim), brane (separation), Higgs, flattened potentials = non-monomial, ...

## *inflation* = accelerating driven "vacuum", then differentially & now differentially?

### $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ = - d*In*H / d*In*a ; Hamilton-Jacobi V(ψ)≈3M<sub>P</sub><sup>2</sup>H<sup>2</sup>(1- $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$ /3); dψ/ d*In*a= ±√ $\mathbf{\mathcal{E}}$

inflation consistency -n<sub>t</sub> ≈r/8 ≈2ε(k) 1-n<sub>s</sub> ≈2ε+dlnε/dlnHa

if relax prior of c<sub>s</sub>=1, need that trajectory

a path approach to inflation: ε trajectories drive scalar power, indirectly tensor power, V and ψ. use full k-mode integration but Langevin equation stochastic inflation framework - usually very accurate, very for tensor, but full built into MCMC





scan  $ln P_s(lnk)/A_s$ ,  $ln A_s = ln P_s(k_{pivot,s})$ ,  $r(k_{pivot,t})$ ; consistency => reconstruct  $\epsilon(ln Ha)$ ,  $V(\psi)$ 



Friday, 28 June, 13

### new parameters: trajectory probabilities for early-inflatons & late-inflatons (partially) blind cf. informed "theory" priors. compress info onto a variety of modes



scan  $InP_{s}(Ink)/A_{s}$ ,  $InA_{s}=InP_{s}(k_{pivot,s})$ ,  $r(k_{pivot,t})$ ; consistency => reconstruct  $\epsilon(InHa)$ ,  $V(\psi)$ 



(CMBall+LSS+SN+WL)

Bond, Huang 2013





0.5

Friday, 28 June, 13

quest for B mode similar to first T detections, first E detections => broad-band analyses

Farhang BDN 11/13: use full matrix quadratic matrix analysis of Q/U if possible. ancient COBE history. feasible with modest parameter numbers r and most correlated, rBB, rEE and broadband rband phenomenology

sigma(r) as a function of fsky partially informed the spider 8% decision, but broad region where ok

lose information if you project onto pure B given sky cuts

must model Correlation Matrices accurately, including foregrounds

CBI approach to pol:

gather UV onto wavenumber pixels semi-optimally. ACT, BICEP, KECK FT not semi-optimal use a quadratic pix-pix matrix analysis for bandpowers. mode/template optimal quadratic filtering similar to Wiener filtering, projects out the most relevant information

make Wiener maps for E, B to see what it looks like, but no scientific analysis (fluctuations important to see where it is not well probed

can inform the quest with consistency-informed analyses, although of course blind is better, though not for parameters.  $\varepsilon$  expansion only over the observable range, < 10 e-folds, tried extrapolating to  $\varepsilon$  =1, 50-60 efolds downstream - too much freedom, smooth approach, waterfalls, isocurvature onset, etc.



## s,t power spectra trajectories: compress data onto non-top-hat k-modes Bond, Contaldi, Huang, Kofman, Vaudrevange 2011

Spider-24days + Planck-2.5yr + ... 11/7 knot InPs +r-nt forecast for r=0 (+ fgnds)



Friday, 28 June, 13

## future scalar power spectrum trajectories scan n<sub>s</sub>(Ink), InA<sub>s</sub>=InP<sub>s</sub>(k<sub>pivot,s</sub>), r(k<sub>pivot,t</sub>); consistency => reconstruct ε(InHa), V(ψ)



 $ε_{ψ} ≈ ε = - dInH / dIna$ ; V(ψ)≈3M<sub>P</sub><sup>2</sup>H<sup>2</sup>(1-ε/3); dψ/ dIna = ±√ε

## GW/S≡r ≈16ε



 $\varepsilon$  expansion only over the observable range, < 10 e-folds, tried extrapolating to  $\varepsilon = 1$ , 50-60 efolds downstream - too much freedom, smooth approach, waterfalls, isocurvature onset, etc.

### compress data onto non-top-hat k-modes

Farhang, Bond, Dore, Netterfield 2011-13

Bond, Contaldi, Huang, Kofman, Vaudrevange 2011



 $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  expansion only over the observable range, < 10 efolds, tried extrapolating to  $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$  =1, 50-60 efolds downstream - too much freedom, smooth approach, waterfalls, isocurvature onset, etc. quest for B mode similar to first T detections, first E detections => broad-band analyses

Farhang BDN 11/13: use full matrix quadratic matrix analysis of Q/U if possible. ancient COBE history. feasible with modest parameter numbers r and most correlated, rBB, rEE and broadband rband phenomenology

sigma(r) as a function of fsky partially informed the spider 8% decision, but broad region where ok

lose information if you project onto pure B given sky cuts

must model Correlation Matrices accurately, including foregrounds

CBI approach to pol:

gather UV onto wavenumber pixels semi-optimally. ACT, BICEP, KECK FT not semi-optimal use a quadratic pix-pix matrix analysis for bandpowers. mode/template optimal quadratic filtering similar to Wiener filtering, projects out the most relevant information

make Wiener maps for E, B to see what it looks like, but no scientific analysis (fluctuations important to see where it is not well probed

can inform the quest with consistency-informed analyses, although of course blind is better, though not for parameters.  $\varepsilon$  expansion only over the observable range, < 10 e-folds, tried extrapolating to  $\varepsilon$  =1, 50-60 efolds downstream - too much freedom, smooth approach, waterfalls, isocurvature onset, etc.

# end