

Dick Bond



Universe=System+Res =Data+Theory en-TANGO-ment

Revealing Cosmic Information in Cluster/Group System through the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Effect



Compton upscattering of CMB photons gives a direct probe of the thermal energy of the gas in gravitationally-collapsed nodes of the cosmic web, from the rarest clusters down to the typical groups. I will talk about our current state of cluster theory in relation to SZ observations. In spite of the *long SZ history*, it has only been in the last few years that *ACT*, *Planck and SPT* have delivered an avalanche of impressive SZ detections that show this probe is now profoundly augmenting the X-ray, optical galaxy and lensing signatures. To unravel the cosmic implications of the SZ data, the *complexity of the cosmic web's cluster/group patches must be understood*, and this requires a large *program of gastrophysical simulations with energy/entropy feedback*, with special attention to cluster outskirts as well as deep interior, whose conclusions I will describe. *Shannon entropy/information* ideas are used as a theme for this exploration of the non-equilibrium complexity of the cluster/group system.

mocking observations of the cluster/gp system in the cosmic web SciNet massive non-equilibrium rare events at high z ACT, SPT, Planck, interferometers, Mustang@GBT 3.8σ direct detection: kinetic SZ effect of the moving hot gas in the cluster/group system ACTxBOSS

Dick Bond CIFAR@CITA with CITA aka Cosmic Information Theory & Analysis Cluster Information from Compton Heating of the CMB: from Simplicity to Complexity



Thursday, 4 October, 12

fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all cosmic web structure



pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ



pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ





Thursday, 4 October, 12



Thursday, 4 October, 12

brief history of understanding objects & their distribution in the cosmic web & the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Probe inner space outer space chicago apr 1984 from ITP84





ambient SZ in pancake model SBS83; hdm ruled out by clusters FDW83; SZ from clusters, explosions, superconducting cosmic strings B88; ambient SZ pix B89

"clustered shots" (aka halos aka bbks86-peaks) ⇒ peak patches BM91-96, SZ/CIB was the target

brief history of understanding objects & their distribution in the cosmic web & the Sunyaev-Zeldovich Probe

inner space outer space chicago apr 1984 from ITP84



ambient SZ in pancake model SBS83; hdm ruled out by clusters FDW83; SZ from clusters, explosions, superconducting cosmic strings B88; ambient SZ pix B89

"clustered shots" (aka halos aka bbks86-peaks) ⇒ peak patches BM91-96, SZ/CIB was the target

fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all cosmic web structure



fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all cosmic web structure

Simplicity to Complexity under Gravity => cosmic web a tidal/strain tensor map peak-patches: $\Delta > 100$, $ln\rho/<\rho> > 2$, clusters at z ~0-1 are the rare "events" in the medium \Rightarrow "intermittency"

from a maxS Gaussian Random Field to a highly nonG RF

the peak-patches give accurate mass, binding energy, & LSS. *BE / "DM" pressure patches* initial tidal tensors of the patches orient the web **filaments**: $\Delta \sim 5-10$, $ln\rho/<\rho> >2$, bridge clusters, groups bead the bridges 2-peak constraint of nearly-aligned tidal tensors => strong bridges **membranes**: $\Delta \sim 2$, $ln\rho/<\rho> >1/2$, intra-filament webbing 3,4,...-peak constraint of "clustering patches" aka *superclusters* ~ *shear-patches* **void-patches**: $\Delta < 0.1$ $ln\rho/<\rho>-minima$, exact obverse of peak-patches





filaments

density field reconstruction of the filtered web rank-order peak/void-patches(M) minimum info LSS convergence as N_{patch} increases InformationQuality: clusters encode the web interior and high resolution spatial detail <=> more info



Delta T over Tea Toronto May 1987: first dedicated CMB conference, exptalists +theorists, primary+secondary **DT/T**

• very small angle anisotropies - VLA results, secondary fluctuations via the Sunyaev-Zeldovich effect, primeval dust emission, and radio sources

 small angle anisotropies - current results, optimal measuring strategies, statistical methods for + effect of energy injection / explosions on LSS- a big pre-COBE forecast issue = feedback

bond@ ΔT/Tea87: "clustered shots" (aka halos aka bbks86-peaks) with spherical pressure profiles via binding energy (not mass) but beta-profiles with core scaling and old X-ray beta's

BUT spherical collapse - too many cls & non-dynamical masses - high M's too low ⇒ peak patches BM91-96 tidal fields - virial mass from homogeneous ellipsoid dynamics, accurate cluster positions, masses, binding energies, clustering => cosmic web

e...g, application to Planck sims 90s, CBI, AMIBA, ...

constrained supercluster treePM-SPH sim of ΛCDM +cooling: largest k-range of its time (>> Virgo sim) SZ in supercls may give us the outskirts of cls & gps, not filaments (unless ∃ large gas E-outflows) B+Kofman+Pogosyan+Wadsley 97/99



P.R. DOCCHET & R. GEOPERT IN

painting halos with analytic Y_{SZ} & pressure form factors 2002-12 cf. SPH-hydro (Gadget/Gasoline, ммн, емzo, ART, камезез 2001-12; ITP cl test 96-00): discrepancy 2002+: big issue was/is: Δ 500 to 20, non-thermal KE/Eth

What sort of objects in the cosmic web dominate the SZ effect? Δ_{cut}= 200, 120, 60, 20 then convergence, pick up far-field of clusters and groups,+ a little into filaments (unless ∃ large gas E-outflows into filaments) What is the redshift range that contributes to the SZ effect? all from 0 to ~2 half <CL^{SZ}>3000 from z>0.5 & M<3x10¹⁴ M_☉ h⁻¹



CBI p	ol to Apr'05 @Chile CBI	2		
53	8+35 cls (>=40)	230 cls (>= Planck09 52+ bolome + HEMTs (9 frequencie	1000) .4 eters @L2 s	
2004	2006	2008	New: Menanteau ACT Celestial Equ	+12, Hasselfield+12 ator cls, 68 (49+19
>96	2005 200 Acbar@SP ~1 blind SZA@Cal	224 (>=750 SPT 1000 bol @SPole	in SDSS, half z>.5 502 sq deg =>91 in 100% purity for No significant evidence M _{SZ} -N ₂₀₀ weak correlation	5, 1 z~1.1 10 ¹⁵ M _{sun} 1 952 deg ² , 0.1 <z<1.3 2 S/N>5. 60% > 4.5 e of SZ/BCG offset tion, large scatter</z<1.3
/BIMA	3 cls (z>1), x?	ÁCT	23+68~91 cls	
38 cls 80s-90s Ryle	AMI 7+1 cls >=50+25 GBT Must	APEX 3000 bo APEX 3 freqs ~400 bolos@Chile ~25 cls	Chile SCUBA2 12000 bolos	SPTpol ACTpo ALMA CCAT@Chile
	4 cls (~25 Cl	_ASH)	JCMT @Hawaii	LMT@Mexico



the Planck Collaboration, including individuals from more than 50 scientific institutes in Europe, the USA and Canada



Planck is a project of the European Space Agency --ESA -- with instruments provided by two scientific Consortia funded by ESA member states (in particular the lead countries: France and Italy) with contributions from NASA (USA), and telescope reflectors provided in a collaboration between ESA and a scientific Consortium led and funded by Denmark.

Bond since 1993, Canada since 2001, 1st CSA pre-launch contract 2002-09, post-launch 2010-11, 2011-13



Cosmology From 17,000 Feet: Results From the Atacama Cosmology Telescope



CMB@CITA: Boomerang, Acbar, CBI1,2, WMAP, Planck, ACT, Spider, Blast, & ACTpol, ABS, QUIET2; GBT-Mustang2, CARMA/SZA, SCUBA2, ALMA, CCAT. CMB@CIFAR: these + APEX, SPT, SPTpol, EBEX

V.Acquaviva ^{1,2} R. Dunner⁴ P.Ade³ T. Essinger-Hileman⁶ P.Aguirre⁴ R.P. Fisher⁶ M. Amiri⁵ I.W. Fowler⁶ J. Appel⁶ A. Hajian ^{6,8} E. Battistelli 7,5 M. Halpern ⁵ N. Battaglia⁸ M. Hasselfield ⁵ J. R. Bond⁸ C. Hernandez-Monteagudo ^{13,2} B. Brown ⁹ G. Hilton 11 B. Burger ⁵ M. Hilton 14, 15 I. Chervenak¹⁰ ^{*} A. D. Hincks ^{6,8} S. Das ^{29,6,1} R. Hlozek ^{12, 1} M. Devlin² K. Huffenberger^{16,6} S. Dicker² D. Hughes¹⁷ W. B. Doriese ¹¹ J. P. Hughes¹⁸ I. Dunkley 12,6,1 ¹ Princeton University Astrophysics (USA) ² University of Pennsylvania (USA) ³ Cardiff University (UK) ⁴ Pontifica Universidad Catolica de Chile (Chile) ⁵ University of British Columbia (Canada) ⁶ Princeton University Physics (USA) ⁷ University of Rome "La Sapienza" (Italy) * ⁸ CITA, University of Toronto (Canada) ⁹ University of Pittsburgh (USA) ¹⁰ NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (USA) ¹¹ NIST Boulder (USA) ¹² Oxford University (UK) ¹³ Max Planck Institut fur Astrophysik (Germany) ¹⁴ University of KwaZulu-Natal (South Africa)

L. Infante ⁴ K.D. Irwin ¹¹ N. Jarosik ⁶ R. Jimenez ¹⁹ J.B. Juin ⁴ M. Kaul ² J. Klein ² A. Kosowsky ⁹ J.M. Lau ^{20,6} M. Limon ²¹ Y.T. Lin ^{22,1,4} R. Lupton ¹ T.A. Marriage ^{1,6} D. Marsden ²

K. Martocci ^{23,6} P. Mauskopf ³ F. Menanteau ¹⁸ K. Moodley ¹⁴ H. Moseley ¹⁰ * B. Netterfield ²⁴ M.D. Niemack ^{11,6} * M.R. Nolta ⁸ L.A. Page (PI) ⁶ L. Parker ⁶ B. Partridge ²⁵ H. Quintana ⁴ B. Reid ^{19,1} N. Sehgal ^{20,18} J. Sievers ^{8,6}
D. Spergel ¹
S.T. Staggs ⁶
O. Stryzak ⁶
D. Swetz ²
E. Switzer ^{23,6,8}
R. Thornton ^{26,2}
H. Trac ^{27,1}
C. Tucker ³
L. Verde ¹⁹
R. Warne ¹⁴
G. Wilson ²⁸
E. Wollack ¹⁰
Y. Zhao ⁶

¹⁵ South African Astronomical Observatory
¹⁶ University of Miami (USA)
¹⁷ INAOE (Mexico)
¹⁸ Rutgers (USA)
¹⁹ Institute de Ciencies de L'Espai (Spain)
²⁰ KIPAC, Stanford (USA)
²¹ Columbia University (USA)
²² IPMU (Japan)
²³ KICP, Chicago (USA)
* ²⁴ University of Toronto (Canada)
²⁵ Haverford College (USA)
²⁶ West Chester University of Pennsylvania (USA)
²⁷ Harvard-Smithsonian CfA (USA)
²⁸ University of Massachusetts, Amherst (USA)

²⁹ BCCP UC Berkeley and LBL (USA)



end observing 2011: ACT completed 3 full seasons, over ~1300 deg², maps@CITA. next step is ACTpol



end observing 2011: ACT completed 3 full seasons, over ~1300 deg², maps@CITA. next step is ACTpol >= 2013





Simulate Universes from ultra-early beginnings to ultimate end. turning 6 parameter LCDM into Petabits. Fields on a lattice, Linear Theory, Linear perturbation evolution for primary CMB, pure Nbody, Gastrophysical complexity, feedback, transport Mock data

Process Data compressing the Petabit+ raw observed CMB+LSS information into high quality bits. ACT maps >20 CPU-Mhrs solve for 10¹⁰ params from >10¹² data pts

SciNet @UofT: GPC: 3780 nehalem nodes=30240 cores 306 TFlops debut as #16 in Top500 TCS: 104 P6 nodes=3328 cores 60 TFlops debut as #53 in Top500 ->80

1.4 Pbytes storage

CITA-SZ with feedback: Battaglia, Bond, Pfrommer, Sievers & Sijacki 2010, BBPS 2011-12 1,2,3,4,5

for ACT+SPT+Planck +.. urgent to show the cluster-theory-variance as effects are added 07 goal large treePM-sph sims (~1000³ gas+DM)-NOT 08-12 goal 512³ & 256³ & single-hi-res-cls

Shock heat only "adiabatic"; cool+SN E; cool + SN E + winds; COOl + SN E-feedback + winds + CRs from cluster shocks;

but because of core overcooling and overproduction of stars, needed a subgrid model of AGN/starburst feedback in halo cores, calibrated with the (small mass) cluster-BH calculations of Sijacki (with Springel, Pfrommer, ...). Feedback is the essence of Gastrophysical Cosmology. Energy/Momentum driven winds, Relativistic injection.

full Sijacki-resolution was/is ~ infeasible for single massive clusters, and certainly strongly infeasible for big-box statistically useful samples, & also itself is just a subgrid model hence our exploratory subgrid BH/Starburst feedback model

AGN feedback + cool + SN E + winds: $\Delta E_{inj} \sim \epsilon \Delta t$ SFR over R_{AGN} in halo centre, episodic above a SFR threshold, $\epsilon_{eff} < \epsilon$: most E_{inj} above z=2, so much freedom to minimize ϵ_{eff} e.g., E_{inj} 58% at z > 2, 23% in 1 < z < 2 19% z<1 TBD: momentum feedback, relativistic energy/pressure feedback (magnetic fields, cosmic rays)

conclusion circa 2012: \nexists Universal panacea to cure cluster cores: highly inhomogeneous, episodic & cluster-history-dependent. if observables are overly sensitive, then we become gastrophysical weather reporters and not cosmological gold-sample miners delivering pure cosmic parameters. BUT most relevant tSZ-region ~0.5R₅₀₀ to ~3R₂₀₀ \Rightarrow different non-thermal problems: kinetic pressure aka

turbulence/internal-bulk-flows, pressure/density clumping, asphericity, ... but we need hydrodynamically-reasonable inner cores hence subgrid feedback (beware of cutouts of overcooled cores) "every cluster is a Bullet cluster" - or was a bullet in its past, el Gordo, A520, ... fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all cosmic web structure

 $\rho_{g}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{t})$ BBPS1,2,3,4,5 BBPSS10 Hydro Sims include all effects -except of course evolve a~1 those not included from early (10+10+20 2563 SPH gas+DM) U vacuum 400 Mpc (1+1+1 512³ gas+DM) ΛCDM + ... potential => Thou Shalt Mock Analytic and semi-analytic and ΛCDM treatments cannot intuit the complexity & must be fully vacuum calibrated with sims for a useful phenomenology noise WMAP5 in the *turbulent* internal bulk flows, gas presence asphericity, density of late U clumping of density & pressure, vacuum cosmic web far-field connection thru filaments, Gadget-3 potential FEEDBACK of Entropy& Energy & Momentum SF+ SN aka dark from stars, black holes, cosmic rays, ... a~e⁻⁶⁷⁺ E+ energy winds Ina(x,InH) +CRs 512³ BBPSS10 BBPS1,2,3,4,5

Compton-y map: "adiabatic" = formation shock entropy from gravitational accretion only



Compton-y map: Feedback = AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)



Adiabatic - Feedback



pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ



pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ



Bond@2004, Second Planck Symposium, Orsay, France, January, The CMB Landscape circa 2008: Mocking Forecasts

in praise of mocking the cluster/gp system with

increasing sophistication: Monte Carlo selections, contamination of probes, *n*_{cl} (what's happening, Mass++), & ... MC mock-observations & systematics, **end-to-end sims a la CMB expts**

cluster near, intermediate (> r500) & far (>r200) field internal bulk flows aka turbulence ratty edges from filament inflow anisotropy ≠ spherical line of sight contaminants for cylindrical measures clumping, subhalos, ... radio galaxies / AGN / BCG inside other galaxies inside background galaxies

short distance complexities in a coarse-grained world (e.g., unstable multiphase cooling cores)

KITP2011@Monsters Inc: movement in this direction, e.g., ACT, Planck, SPT, DES, X..., an industry arises, Mockers Inc.

need: fast + numerous MC, but informed by high res full simulations e.g., ACT=>ACTpol

beware, although DM-dominated the gas/stars are - of course - highly biased inside the

clusters, painting/splattering dark matter halo potential wells (e.g., $p_e(\mathcal{P}_N(X))$ can never be accurate; e.g., pressure clumping, DM ellipticity > gas ellipticity

scaled Pressure+ profiles: dIn Eth(<r)/dIn r

In p_{th} & In ρ_g & In ρ_{dm} & Φ_{dm+g} sx~ T_e / $\rho_g^{2/3}$ & s_{th} ~ $3Y_T/2$ In sx but it is p_{tot} in the virial equation (& Sth+kin+clumping+anisotropy)

(10+10+20 256³ gas+DM) (1+1+1 512³ gas+DM) ΛCDM sphericalize-scale-stack cluster profiles, with Y_{SZ} weighting, also M & z bins.

for fast MCMC *CL^{SZ}*(cosmic & internal-cl parameters) with nonG statistics a la peak patch or .. includes all non-th & non-eq effects better to rotate-into-principal-axes scale-stack profiles

& cluster ENTROPIES: coarse-grained information



scaled Pressure+ profiles: dIn Eth(<r)/dIn r

In p_{th} & In ρ_g & In ρ_{dm} & Φ_{dm+g} sx~ T_e / $\rho_g^{2/3}$ & s_{th} ~ $3Y_T/2$ In sx but it is p_{tot} in the virial equation (& S_{th+kin+clumping+anisotropy})

(10+10+20 256³ gas+DM) (1+1+1 512³ gas+DM) ΛCDM sphericalize-scale-stack cluster profiles, with Y_{SZ} weighting, also M & z bins.

for fast MCMC C^L^{SZ}(cosmic & internal-cl parameters) with nonG statistics a la peak patch or .. includes all non-th & non-eq effects better to rotate-into-principal-axes scale-stack profiles

& cluster ENTROPIES: coarse-grained information

GNFW-fit(M,z) accuracy <10% extends Arnaud universal profile



Planck 2012: neo "universal" pressure profile, via SZ from 62 nearby massive cls +Coma



2D pressure exact vs. fit r pressure sub-structure



Same cluster (pasted on GNFW according to mass) @ 30 GHz, z = 0.05 Mass ~10¹⁵ M_{sun}

2D pressure exact vs. fit r pressure sub-structure



Hydro Sims include all effects -except of course those not included

(10+10+20 256³ SPH gas+DM) (1+1+1 512³ gas+DM) ΛCDM + ...

=> **Thou Shalt Mock** Analytic and semi-analytic treatments cannot intuit these & must be fully calibrated with sims for a useful phenomenology

non-thermal/non-equilibrium effects: Summary: the running with r/R₂₀₀ *aka resolution* (e.g., d/n E_{th}(<r)/d/n r) *of effects influencing* Y_{SZ500}(M) & CL^{tSZ} for low & high M @ z=0, 1

turbulent internal bulk flows P_{kin}/P_{th} asphericity 1-c/a gas cf. DM clumping of density & pressure (!) $C_{p2}^{1/2}-1 = sqrt[\langle p_{th}^2 \rangle / \langle p_{th} \rangle^2]-1$ aka Renyi entropy of order 2

not small $@ < R_{500}$ huge $@ < R_{200} < R_{vir} < R_{SZboundary}$



CBI pol t CLS	Apr'05 @Chile CBI2	QUaD @SP CL ^{SZ} Planck09.4 52+ bolometer + HEMTs @L 9 frequencies	rs 2	
2004	2006	2008 CI SZ	LHC	2011 Bpol
2 A >96 ~1 OVRO /BIMA	2005 CL ^{SZ} 2007 Cbar@SP AM 1 blind SZA@Cal	7 IBA (@SPole) (@SPole)	2009	@L2
array CL ^{Si}	Z CL ^{SZ} AMI	AC1 3000 bolos 3 freqs @ 400 bolos@Chile	CL ^{SZ} Chile	SPTpol ACTpol ALMA
OVRO	GBT Musta	ng	12000 bolos JCMT @Hawaii	CCAT@Chile

pressure intermittency in the cosmic web, in cluster-group concentrations probed by tSZ



pressure sub-structure contribution to C_L^{SZ}



biases in gas fraction estimation in clusters



Dick Bond CIFAR@CITA with CITA aka Cosmic Information Theory & Analysis Cluster Information from Compton Heating of the CMB: from Simplicity to Complexity



Thursday, 4 October, 12

Secondary Anisotropies (tSZ, kSZ, WL, reion, CIB; hydro)

how most of the entropy in baryons & dark matter was generated

strain waves break => clusters/groups (galaxies/dwarfs) in the cosmic web collapse => shocked gas & extreme nonlinear phase space entanglement of dark matter / stars

then the baryons **feed back entropy**: exploding stars, accreting black holes, dusty CIB radiation





Thursday, 4 October, 12

dS/dt 2*

Secondary Anisotropies (tSZ, kSZ, WL, reion, CIB; hydro)

how most of the entropy in baryons & dark matter was generated

strain waves break => clusters/groups (galaxies/dwarfs) in the cosmic web collapse => shocked gas & extreme nonlinear phase space entanglement of dark matter / stars

then the baryons **feed back entropy**: exploding stars, accreting black holes, dusty CIB radiation

Learning the Cluster Tango

dS/dt 2



Cosmic Hydro Sims include all effects **except of course those not included** Thou Shalt Mock (10+10+20 256³ SPH gas+DM) (1+1+1 512³ gas+DM) ΛCDM + ...



nr Sackur-Tetrode: $\Delta s = 1/2$ Tr In $\langle \Delta P_{ij} \rangle \langle \rho \rangle + \ln \rho^{-1} (+ clumping + anisotropy...)$

fine-macro-small-grain 10⁶ baryons in cubic metres cf. sph--macro-large- grain 10⁶⁵ baryons. ~26 dims per sph-grain, huge dimensional reduction, scaled-radial-resolution-grain further dim reduction. entanglement of fine & coarse & EFT. feedback.

fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all cosmic web structure













Universal Entropy Profile?

evidence for relaxed cool core clusters Walker, Fabian, Sanders, George 2012



53



kinetic SZ: $\Delta T/T = \int n_e v_{e||} / c \sigma_T dlos$ $\sim \int J_e \cdot dr$ spectrally degenerate with primary anisotropies $\int kSZ(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \sim M_{gas} V_{bulk} / DA^2$



Compton-y map: Feedback = AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)



kinetic SZ map (log): Feedback = AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)





kinetic SZ: $\Delta T/T = \int n_e v_{e||} / c \sigma_T dlos$ $\sim \int J_e \cdot dr$ spectrally degenerate with primary anisotropies $\int kSZ(\theta, \phi) d\Omega \sim M_{gas}V_{bulk} / DA^2$

ACT x BOSS direct detection of the kSZ effect:

Hand+ 2012 arXiv/1203.4219 i.e. Mar 20

 $<\Delta T$ ng > using 7,500 brightest of 27291 luminous BOSS galaxies 220 sq deg overlap with ACT equatorial strip 3x110 sq deg 2008-10 data. $<z>\sim0.5$.

"Like any theoretical scientist proposing an observational effect, I was dreaming for almost 40 years that it would be discovered 'in the next several years," Sunyaev said. "It's extremely elegant that the authors were able to choose the most interesting groups of galaxies using the SDSS-III results."



kinetic SZ map (log): Feedback

= AGN or Starburst E-feedback + radiative cool + SN energy + wind + (CR)



Synergy between Clusters & other cosmological probes bond@KITP11

Ncluster(Mhalo Z) or **Ncluster** (Ysz, Mlens, Yx, Lx, Tx, Lcl, opt, Rich, ... **z**, gold-sample, thresholds) + C_L ^{SZ}(cuts) + $\xi_{cc}(r|n_{cl})$ + f_{gas} deliver valuable cosmic gastrophysics. Will cls deliver fundamental physics

dark energy EOS?? σ_8 even? primordial non-Gaussianity??? *theory/obs dispersion/systematics assessment is critical. robust measures*

cluster/gp system used since 80s: Xtra power ξ_{cc} $\xi_{cg} => xCDM$, x= Λ $P_{\rho\rho}(k\sim 1/4h^{-1}Mpc)$ aka σ_8 via $n_{cl} f_{gas} \dots$ ready for prime time? mock-ing!!

