Bond, Frolov, Huang dust/synch/CMB, with Boulanger, Ghosh, Miville-Deschenes, Martin CITA mini-industry e.g. Stacking also for LSS 2ndary CMB Alvarez, Stein, Codis + Connor Bevington, Bruno Régaldo-Saint Blancard for tSZ etc & to LIM w/ Ronan Kerr

Overview: dust maps in intensity and polarization are manifestly non-Gaussian, not statistically isotropic, not derived from a statistically homogeneous random field. *yikes. unlike early universe quest for perturbative nonG very hard to see*

use "CMB/LSS" ideas to look at the complex ISM data, in particular Planck (& Herschel) goals to have simplified compression of data - e.g. novel stacking for dust, synch cf. CMB

e.g. anisotropic random tensor fields of transformed fields: s(PX, s2s1) = - log{n(PX, s2s1)) +1, n(PX, s2s1)) = 2X2 distribution function matrix, (Wigner df) => In I and p =P/I, e=E/I, b=B/I, q=Q/I, u=U/I, with some large-p modifications

does look more Gaussian, but still not.

Gaussian-ize the 1-point PDF, (using relative entropy minimization of PDF(I) and PDF_Gauss (newl) to justify doing what you think you should do anyway.

highly nonG Minkowski approach Gaussian, but still nonG deviations in tails.

anisotropic Gaussian random field with large scale (long wavelength) constraints to define anisotropy directions. so far no really good tensors built from knowledge of e.g., Bhat_Perp because I hoped to get it just from the measures TBD

- 1. the transform and how the maps look
- 2. how EE, BB power spectra look in e, b
- 3. how IE, IB, EB look ieb cf IEB

for these not yet done in ieb, but chose a strong bcut> 30deg Galactic mask for these results

filament-ariness is characterized by anisotropic coherence of stacks, but not straight (squeezed 3-point turned out not to be that useful for filaments - Planck with Tuhin Ghosh - projected filaments are curved, and Interstellar web is more complex. power pre decade rises above scale invariance at low L => huge fluctuations for GRF. Many ideas in Planck 2015, pip XXX, and some in Planck 2018 landS

- 4. PDF, Minkowski functionals
- 5. filaments perimeter cf. area of contours (with care because of noise).
- 6. field point stacks as anisotropy changes
- 7. peak (hot spot) catalogue properties with scale. n_pk of dust cf. CMB (nu, nu_e similar to p pol fraction)
 - **CUTS for filament strengths**
- 8. stacks for high ellipticity peaks cf. low
- 9. stacks on P, P_T etal
- 10. stacks on everything else, saddle points are fun, etc

Planck 18 LIV more aggressive: cut on smoothed 857 intensity, intersect with cut on smoothed CO line map, plus some point sources and anodize with 5 degree Gaussian..fsky = 24, .33, .42, .52, .62, .71





Polarization is caused by magnetic field alignment:

$$I = \int S_{\gamma} e^{-\tau_{\gamma}} d\tau_{\gamma} \left[1 - p_0 \left(\cos^2 \gamma - \frac{2}{3} \right) \right]$$
$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} Q \\ U \end{array} \right\} = \int S_{\gamma} e^{-\tau_{\gamma}} d\tau_{\gamma} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \cos 2\phi \\ \sin 2\phi \end{array} \right\} p_0 \cos^2 \gamma$$

(p_0 is intrinsic polarization fraction ~ 0.21)

For a single layer, P/I determines magnetic field orientation:

$$\frac{I-P}{I+P} = 1 - \frac{6p_0}{2p_0 + 3}\cos^2\gamma$$

s(PX, s2s1) = - log{n(PX, s2s1)) +1, n(PX, s2s1)) = 2X2 df matrix aka Wigner df tensor Transform polarization tensor into polarization fraction tensor:

$$\begin{bmatrix} i+q & u \\ u & i-q \end{bmatrix} = \ln \begin{bmatrix} I+Q & U \\ U & I-Q \end{bmatrix}$$

This is an invertible transformation on IQU maps:

$$i = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(I^2 - P^2 \right), \quad q = \frac{1}{2} \frac{Q}{p} \ln \frac{I+P}{I-P}, \quad u = \frac{1}{2} \frac{U}{p} \ln \frac{I+P}{I-P}$$
$$I = e^i \cosh p, \qquad Q = \frac{q}{p} e^i \sinh p, \qquad U = \frac{u}{p} e^i \sinh p$$

for small p, i=In I and p =P/I, e=E/I, b=B/I, q=Q/I, u=U/I => Planckian increased emphasis on polarization fractions mask based on intensity cuts, apodized. cf. Planck 18 LIV more aggressive: cut on smoothed 857 intensity, intersect with cut on smoothed CO line map, plus some point sources and anodize with 5 degree Gaussian..fsky = 24, .33, .42, .52, .62, .71



In I in unmasked region. spurs etc.







an approach to adding fluctuations in B via a randomized b map, with modes L=1 to 4 constrained and other constraints



Planck pip 2018 LIV



with 90% mask



 $I(I+1) C_I^{XX/2\pi}, [K_{CMB}^2]$

90% mask



with no further cuts, in ee, bb power spec are almost scale invariant, ie diminished, ib still there though less, no eb





90% mask







bcut 30deg



Three key elements:

- A What to stack? (cosmic field u)
- B Where to stack? (selection of patches, e.g., peaks)
- C How to stack? (patch orientations)
- "where" and "how" give constrained parameter(s) q;

	WMAP & Planck 2013	Planck 2015
What	T, Q, U, Q_r, U_r	$T, Q, U, Q_r, U_r, E, B, Q_T, U_T, \zeta_{dv}, \dots$
Where	T peaks	$T, E, B, Q^2 + U^2, Q_T^2 + U_T^2, \zeta_{dv}$ peaks
How	unoriented	oriented and unoriented

For Gaussian fields,

 $\langle u|q$; peak, orientation $\rangle = \langle uq^{\dagger} \rangle \langle qq^{\dagger} \rangle^{-1} \langle q|$ peak, orientation \rangle .

Stacking: CITA mini-industry e.g., Bond, Frolov, Huang for dust/synch cf. CMB, good way to select regions or points (catalogues), see long wave gradients,etc

First derivative vanishes on the peak. Need to use the 2nd derivatives.

Intuitively (flat-sky limit): $Q_T \equiv \nabla^{-2} (\partial_y^2 - \partial_x^2) T, U_T \equiv -2\nabla^{-2} (\partial_x \partial_y) T$

Slightly non-intuitive (on the sphere): $Q_T(\mathbf{n}) \pm i U_T(\mathbf{n}) \equiv \sum_{l,m} \left[\int T(\mathbf{n}') Y_{lm}^*(\mathbf{n}') d^2 \mathbf{n}' \right]_{\pm 2} Y_{lm}(\mathbf{n})$

Orient the patch such that U_T vanishes in the centre. $\langle u|q$; peak, orientation $\langle \varpi, \phi \rangle$ decomposes to $\cos m\phi$, m = 0, 2, 4.

using **QT, UT was great for CMB**, not for dust, synch - huge coherence with these anisotropic constraints, because so much power at low L. often use **Laplace(QT,UT)**, ie Hessian to concentrate the constraint closer to the filter scale.

use a dipole to decide which side to stack on => asymmetric oriented stacks shows better "superclustering", filaments, membranes, etc.







2921 patches on T maxima, ∇^2 oriented, $\nu=0.2,\,\nu_e=0.4$





3463 patches on T maxima, ∇^2 oriented, $\nu = 0.2$, $\nu_e^{\text{upper}} = 0.4$





340 patches on T maxima, ∇^2 oriented, $\nu=0.2,\,\nu_e^{\rm upper}=0.1$



2



bcut=30deg



field points with T>0. could do this with NHI eg "catalogue of peaks"

90% mask



I-saddle stack

stacked + Hessian + direction info <In I | I-saddle broken symm>



- b. Humuula Saddle (Mauna Loa KS), 6,600°
- c. Elevation and Prominence of Mauna Kea, 13.796"
- p. Prominence of Mauna Loa, 7,079'

- 1. the log-transform of the df matrix and how the maps look
- 2. how EE, BB power spectra look in e, b
- 3. how IE, IB, EB look ieb cf IEB

for these not yet done in ieb, but chose a strong bcut> 30deg Galactic mask for these results

filament-ariness is characterized by anisotropic coherence of stacks, but not straight (squeezed 3-point turned out not to be that useful for filaments - Planck with Tuhin Ghosh - projected filaments are curved, and Interstellar web is more complex. power pre decade rises above scale invariance at low L => huge fluctuations for GRF. Many ideas in Planck 2015, pip XXX, and some in Planck 2018 landS

- 4. PDF, Minkowski functionals
- 5. filaments perimeter cf. area of contours (with care because of noise).
- 6. field point stacks as anisotropy changes
- 7. peak (hot spot) catalogue properties with scale. n_pk of dust cf. CMB (nu, nu_e similar to p pol fraction)
 - CUTS for filament strengths
- 8. stacks for high ellipticity peaks cf. low
- 9. stacks on P, P_T etal
- 10. stacks on everything else, saddle points are fun, etc