



TEST: within errors, energy-density does not change with expansion constant is best fit so far

Early & Late U Inflation: Cosmic Sports with Lev Kofman

the horizon seen from the 70s, 80s, 90s, 00s ** the high resolution frontier: the insides of clusters via SZ (SuZie,.., Acbar, QUaD, ... CCAT, CARMA++,ALMA,GBT,... ACT, SPT, Planck)

the polarization frontier: down the damping tail, through Planck (and ACTpol, SPTpol, ...)

the CMB computational horizon: simulations & Monte Carlos

the CMB computational horizon: optimal de-nuisanced maps from large-format arrays; algorithmic advances, foreground/source issues

** Theory of inflation & dark energy: the non-Gaussian frontier (beyond f_{NL} templates -4< f_{NL} <80 now to $f_{NL} \sim \pm 5$ Planck; will Gravity Wave B be big enough to detect r(k)? DE w ($z|V(\psi),IC$) trajectories

beyond the SM: in quest of the sub-dominant & the anomalous

** the polarization frontier: the quest for B-modes and primordial gravity waves - small-sky (Bicep, KECK, Spider), Planck+small-sky, need for a CMBpol??

standard inflation space: $n_s dn_s/dlnk r = T/S$ @k-pivots What can be observed? forecasting QU not EB Spider $2\sigma_r \sim 0.02$ for $0.02 < f_{sky} 0 < 0.15$ Planck2.5yr $2\sigma_r \sim 0.02 => \sim 0.05$ (foregrounds)

Marzieh Fahrang, Bond, Dore & Netterfield 2010

What is predicted? ??? 0<r<0.5, -12<log(r)<-0.3

standard inflation space: n_s dn_s/dlnk r =T/S @k-pivots WHAT IS PREDICTED?

Smoothly broken scale invariance by nearly uniform braking (standard of 80s/90s/00s) r~0.03-0.5 large field inflation (field moves > Planck mass) or highly variable braking r tiny

(stringy cosmology) r<10⁻¹⁰



small field inflation (field moves < Planck mass => r<.007) Bond, Kofman, Prokushkin, Vaudrevange 07, Roulette Inflation with Kahler Moduli and their Axions Barnaby, Bond, Zhiqi Huang, Kofman 09, Preheating after Modular Inflation

monodromy (V=cosine+linear) & fibre inflation give larger r

current r constraints (95%CL) - prior sensitive
r < 0.16 (no running, all data sets)
r < 0.32 (no running, CMB-only data sets)
r < 0.27 (with running, all data sets)</pre>

inflation consistency -*n_t* ≈**r/8 ≈2ε**(k) 1-**n_s ≈2ε+**d/n**ε/**d/nHa









the **Cosmotician's** Agenda: Statistical Paths in Cosmic Theory & Data

Dick Bond Canadian Institute for Theoretical Astrophysics, University of Toronto

Cosmotician *P*(*cosmic parametersID*,*T*), *P*(*DIT*) *D*=*CMB*,*LSS*,*SN*,..,*complexity*, *life T*=*baryon*, *dark matter*, *vacuum mass-energy densities*,...,*early and late inflation*,*structure of manfolds* (*extra compactifying 7* + *3*+1), *holes*, *branes*, *fibres*, *strings*,*vacuua landscape*, *physical coupling 'constants'* **Anthrostatician**

Cosmic history: what is U made of? $\Rightarrow \rho_{dm} / \rho_{b} = 5.1 \Rightarrow \rho_{m} / \rho_{de} = .30$ and $\Omega_{m} = 0.268 \pm .012$, $\Omega_{\Lambda} = 0.736 \pm .012 \Rightarrow (0.294 \pm .011, 0.706 \pm .011)$

How Structure in the Universe Arose?: *from nearly Gaussian early Inflation vacuum fluctuations in curvature, isocurvature & Gravity Wave fields morphs into the nonlinear Cosmic Web: clusters, filaments, voids; galaxies*

What is the fate of U: dark energy properties driving late inflation

CMBology & **xCDM**, $x=\Lambda+tilt$: the cosmic standard model, status@Nov09: **Boomerang, CBI, Acbar, WMAP,** DASI, QuAD, ... P(D|T) paths for early & late inflation

is there a y to x? @2011-12 from new expts: ACT, Planck, Spider, Keck, ACTpol SPT, EBEX, Bicep, Quiet, SPTpol,.. acceleration paths for B-modes, dark energy probes Tuesday, June 8, 2010

What is the Universe made of?

NOW: baryons + (cold-ish) dark matter + dark energy/inflaton + tiny curvature energy (+light neutrinos+photons). ??a bit of strings/textures/PBHs?? web of galaxies/clusters

THEN: coherent inflaton /"vacuum" energy plus zero-point fluctuations in all fields (≈Gaussian RF)& then preheat via mode coupling to incoherent cascade to thermal equilibrium aka quark-gluon plasma & how was it, is it & will it be distributed?



 $n_b/n_\gamma \rho_{dm}/\rho_b z_{eq}/z_{rec} \rho_{curv} \rho_{de}/\rho_{dm} \rho_{de} \sim H^2 M^2_{Planck} \rho_{m\nu}/\rho_{stars}$



fluctuations in the early universe "vacuum" grow to all structure

current Hubble patch ~10 Gpc speed limit horizon

χ



patterns in the quantum jitter evolve under gravity (& gas dynamics)

1000 Gpc



Balasubramanian, Berlund, Conlon, Quevedo, · · ·

Bond, Kofman, Prokushkin, Vaudrevange 2007, Roulette Inflation with Kahler Moduli and their Axions

eil Barnaby, Bond, Zhiqi Huang, Kofman, hep-th/0909.0503, Preheating after Modular Inflation











the WMAP Cold Spot: Vielva, Martinez-Gonzalez, Barr, Sanz, Cayon 2004 wavelets in WMAP1, ... Cruz etal 07 in WMAP3, & in WMAP5: needlets, steerable wavelets: ~4.5σ, others ~3σ; Zhang & Huterer 09, not as significant with other filters 20%

Bond, Frolov, Huang, Kofman, Nolta: Cold Spot testing: spherical SavitzkyGolay filters (compact polynomials) on pre-whitened WMAP5 data: -5.02σ, at 831 arcmin fwhm, 149 peaks, 1/1099 significance

the WMAP Cold Spot: Vielva, Martinez-Gonzalez, Barr, Sanz, Cayon 2004 wavelets in WMAP1, ... Cruz etal 07 in WMAP3, & in WMAP5: needlets, steerable wavelets: ~4.5σ, others ~3σ; Zhang & Huterer 09, not as significant with other filters 20%

Bond, Frolov, Huang, Kofman, Nolta: Cold Spot testing: spherical SavitzkyGolay filters (compact polynomials) on pre-whitened WMAP5 data: -5.02σ, at 831 arcmin fwhm, 149 peaks, 1/1099 significance

+0.531

Tuesday, June 8, 2010

-0.703

the WMAP Cold Spot: Vielva, Martinez-Gonzalez, Barr, Sanz, Cayon 2004 wavelets in WMAP1, ... Cruz etal 07 in WMAP3, & in WMAP5: needlets, steerable wavelets: ~4.5σ, others ~3σ; Zhang & Huterer 09, not as significant with other filters 20%



-5.02 σ , at 831 arcmin fwhm, 149 peaks, 1/1099 significance





November 2009 data

Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB): WMAP5yr (09), -0.5 Acbar (09), QUAD (09), BICEP (09), CBI (08), Boomerang (06), DASI (05), VSA (04), MAXIMA (00) Type Ia Supernova (SN): LOWZ + SDSS + ESSENCE + SNLS1yr + HST (Kessler et al 09) (soon will + SNLS3yr) Weak Lensing (WL): COSMOS + CFHTLS-wide + RCS + VIRMOS + GaBoDS (Massey et al 07, Lesgourgues et al 07, Benjamin et al 07) Large Scale Structure (LSS): SDSS-DR7 LRG (Reid et al 09) Lya Forest (Lya): SDSS Lya(McDonald et al 05, 06) Others: HST constraint on Hubble parameter (Riess et al 09); 1 Cluster x-ray gas mass fraction (Allen et al 08)

COSMOMC plug-ins (Zhiqi Huang) Decaying dark matter CMB, WL, SN, BAO mock data simulator arbitrary Primordial Power spectra functions Ps (k) and Pt (k). full Ps (k) & Pt (k) integrator for arbitrary single-field inflation 0.6 automatic adjust L, k interpolations for more oscillatory Ps (k) and Pt (k) Dark energy equation of state: arbitrary w(z), with built-in analytic quintessence/ phantom parametrization.





INFLATION THEN "standard inflation space": n_s dn_s/dlnk r @k-pivots WHAT IS PREDICTED?

Smoothly broken scale invariance by nearly uniform braking (standard of 80s/90s/00s) r~0.03-0.5 large field inflation (field moves > Planck mass)

or highly variable braking r tiny

(stringy cosmology) r<10⁻¹⁰

small field inflation (field moves < Planck mass)

monodromy & fiber inflation give larger r

current constraints on r (95%CL) - prior sensitive r < 0.16 (no running, all data sets) r < 0.32 (no running, CMB-only data sets) r < 0.27 (with running, all data sets)

trajectory probabilities for early-inflatons & late-inflatons



Tuesday, June 8, 2010

Bond, Contaldi, Huang, Kofman, Vaudrevange 2005-2010

Semi-blind phenomenology: mode function expansions of *In*P_s (*Ink*) & P_t (*Ink*): generalized running via Chebyshev; nodal-point Cheb, splines, physical shapes @ knots

Inflation functional **Consistency** built in: solve P_s (*Ink*) & P_t (*Ink*)

exactly for mode function expansions of possible acceleration histories $\mathcal{E}(InHa)$

results depend on prior measure for expansion coefficients for current data, less so with CMB experiments targeting the B-mode of polarization

Reconstruction has been much explored over the years, since the 90s. recent examples:

Simple binning techniques: Bridle etal 03; Hannestad 04; Bridges etal 06, 07; Spergel etal 07;
Direct inversion: Shafieloo etal 04,08; Kogo etal 04; Tocchini-Valentini etal 05 06; Nagata etal 08;
Nicholson etal 09a,09b;
Basis function expansion: Mukherjee 05; Leach 06;
Cubic spline interpolation: Sealfon et al 05; Peiris et al 08 09;
Slow-roll reconstruction (flow equations): Peiris etal 03,06a,06b; Easther 06; Adshead etal 09;



partially-blind acceleration trajecteries obeying tensor/scalar consistency relation. Nov09 data



6006

totCls, best-fit totCls, 1 σ



Entering the Planck Era > May 14, 2009 status A-OK, first all sky survey finishes Feb 2010; 5 in all $M_{P} = (Ch/G_{Newton})^{1/2} / 4T$

Launch May 14, 2009 FrenchGuiana, @L2 early July, Survey Began Aug 09

Planck on Planck era physics: impact on early inflation & on late inflation (Dark Energy), aka mysteries of the vacuum

ns(k), GW: Tensor(k) subdominant isocurvature, cosmic strings, textures,

 $nonGaussian F_{NL}(\chi)$

ESA /NASA /CSA Toronto HFI QLA/KST, TA, ... Barth & Dick, Marc-Antoine Miville-Deschenes, Carrie MacTavish, Brendan Crill, Olivier Dore, Carlo Contaldi, Mike Nolta, Peter Martin, Francine Marleau, UBC LFI



Planck "First Light" Survey Aug 2009



BoomPol deep 2003.1, Jul05, Dec09

125 hours, fsky=0.28% 115sq deg

Planck is ~ as deep, but all sky, with similar bolometers (but more) and better resolution



Planck 1st of 5 all Sky Surveys 09.7-10.1



Future Forecasts

CMB: Planck2.5yr, using 3 channels (70GHz, 100GHz, 143GHz), *assuming* 5% foreground residual (synchrotron + dust), fsky = .75, Lmax = 2500. other future polarization experiments: SPIDER, EBEX, QUIET, KECK, ... CMBPol

WL: DUNE-like weak lensing tomography, 20000 sq deg, depth z~1, 35 galaxies/arcmin², two redshift bins, Lmax = 1500. \rightarrow Euclid other proposed deep and wide WL surveys: JDEM, PanStarrs, LSST, ...

SN: JDEM-like, 500 LOWZ (z < 0:03) + 2500 HIGHZ (0:03 < z < 1:7) other ongoing/future SN surveys: SNLS, SDSS, LSST ...

BAO: JDEM, 10000 degree2, 0:5 < z < 2, 10 redshift bins other ongoing/future BAO surveys: WIGGLEZ, CHIME, BOSS, LSST, ...









is the dark energy "vacuum potential energy" ?



TEST: within errors, energy-density does not change with expansion ⇔Einstein's cosmological constant is best fit so far cannot reconstruct the quintessence potential, just the slope ε_s & ~hubble drag

is the dark energy "vacuum potential energy" ?



TEST: within errors, energy-density does not change with expansion constant is best fit so far

is the dark energy "vacuum potential energy" ?



TEST: within errors, energy-density does not change with expansion constant is best fit so far

CITA/PI/CIFAR Dec 8 2009; UPenn Centre Dec12 2009; CIFAR10 Feb 19; CITA@25/BOND@60 - his idea, worked on it into Oct 2009!

Physics Today June 2010: Lev Kofman's Obituary

Lev's graduate students remember him as a cosmic soccer player—and a gifted real one—deftly moving the research ball forward with his team and scoring often. The ball is now passed to us. In his final year, undaunted by his spreading illness, he grew to become an impressively wise acting director of CITA. It is the indomitable, fun-loving, deeply philosophical spirit who felt physics to his very core, a gourmand of life in all its manifestations, whom we miss so much.



May 10, 2010 email: For Classical and Quantum Gravity, David Wands and I are editing a special issue on nonlinear cosmological perturbations, and we thought it would be a good idea to dedicate this issue to Lev, and we'd like to include an image of Lev on the front page. What I'd like to ask you is to give us a permission to use a picture you gave me a while ago just before he died. I attach it with this mail. Best regards, Misao Sasaki

& the w-paper with Zhiqi is about to go out, and trajectories with Zhiqi and Pascal too, and Andrei on non-G spikes

80s-90s arena for BSI & non-Gaussianity near EOI, isocon fields couple in



 $Ina[\chi_i(x,t)] \text{ from "subgrid"} \sim H_e^{-1} \text{ lattice simulations of } OUHF \chi_UHF$ Tuesday, June 8, 2010

Bond, Frolov, Huang, Kofman 09: calculate how the expansion factor from the end of accelerated expansion (end of inflation) through preheating (copious mode-mode-coupling aka

particle creation) to the onset of thermal equilibrium depends on $\chi_i(x,t)$ $\delta N = \delta \ln a |_{H} = curvature fluctuation$



Bond, Andrei Frolov, Zhiqi Huang, Kofman 09: calculate how the expansion factor from the end of accelerated expansion (end of inflation) through preheating (copious mode-mode-coupling aka

particle creation) to the onset of thermal equilibrium depends on $\chi_i(x,t)$ $\delta N = \delta lna |_{H} = curvature fluctuation$

